SQUID Instrumentation for Early Cancer Diagnostics
Combining SQUID-Based Ultra-Low Field MRI and Superparamagnetic Relaxation
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Abstract—Ultra-sensitive magnetic detection and imaging of tagged tissue cells using superparamagnetic nanoparticles is a developing technique for disease diagnosis, e.g. early cancer diagnostics. Superconducting quantum interference devices (SQUIDs) are very suitable for such sensitive measurements. Super-paramagnetic relaxometry is used for detection of targeted cells with high specificity, as only bound nanoparticles are detected via Néel relaxation. By combining relaxometry with ultra-low field magnetic resonance imaging (ULF MRI), using the same instrument, the tagged area can be imaged to provide anatomical information and bounds for the inverse problem, as the same magnetic particles work as MRI contrast agents. The combination of ULF MRI and relaxometry could provide both accurate localization and cell count of the tagged tissue, which would enable detection and localization of cancerous tissue at a very early disease stage. We describe our design of such a combined SQUID-based instrument, and present our first experimental results on phantoms.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Colloidal solutions of superparamagnetic particles of typical 10-30 nm size, called ferrofluids, can be used in medicine as contrast agents for magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) and also as nano-markers for tagging specific cells such as cancerous cells. The first use of SQUIDs for detection of magnetic nano-markers in biological samples was proposed by Kötitz and colleagues [1]. This method was named SQUID magnetorelaxometry or MRX [2]. Tagging specific cells with superparamagnetic nano-markers with subsequent SQUID-based relaxometry allows detection and localization of very small quantities of cells. Early detection of cancer cells is vital in minimizing the risk of entering a metastatic phase [3,4]. The detection limit of this technique is estimated to be as low as 10,000 cells, which is 2 orders of magnitude lower than for state of the art spiral X-ray CT [5]. Low-level cell detection can also be used for nonsurgical determination of organ transplant conditions using T-cell labeling [6], or for early diagnostic of Alzheimer’s and other neurological diseases [7].

Recently, advancements in biomarkers and nanotechnology, e.g. the production of very uniform and stable single core magnetic nanoparticles labeled with specific bioagents, have made this promising method practical as a cancer diagnostic. Precise size and magnetic permeability allow researchers to pre-magnetize particles and measure the remnant magnetic moment during Néel relaxation of the particles [8]. A measurable magnetic field featuring a few seconds relaxation time is generated only by bound particles of a specific size.

The magnetic moment of the tagged tissue cannot be calculated from a single decay signal without knowing its exact spatial position. Thus, a multichannel system should be used that provides decay signals in several spatial positions simultaneously, enabling the magnetic moment and its localization to be estimated using a magnetic dipole source model fitting routine. Such a routine provides an estimated position and magnitude of the magnetized tagged volume using an ill-posed inverse problem solution. To obtain more accurate localization and spatial distribution for the tagged region ULF MRI can be used, which also relies on sensitive SQUID detection. The spatial information obtained from ULF MRI can then be used for the magnetic moment calculation to give the number of tagged cells. SQUID relaxometry has never before been combined with MRI using a single device. The first published results of experimental comparison of these two methods used two separate instruments: a conventional 4.7 T MRI system and a SQUID-based system [9]. In this paper we demonstrate the possibility of combined magnetic relaxometry and ULF MRI of phantoms recorded using one 7-channel SQUID-gradiometer system.

II. METHODS

The design of the system has been described in detail elsewhere [10]. In brief, the system consists of seven axial second-order wire-wound gradiometers 37 mm diameter and 60 mm baseline. The gradiometers are positioned in parallel one in the middle and six others surrounding it in a hexagonal pattern with 45 mm separation between the axes. The magnetic field noise spectral density referred to one pick-up turn is below 3 fT/√Hz for all channels. All experiments described here were performed inside a two-layer magnetically shielded room (MSR).
Magnetic relaxation was performed using a 5.5 mT magnetizing field applied for 1 s followed by a 3 ms switch-off. The magnetic field relaxation signal recording started about 12 ms after the magnetizing field was zeroed. The relaxation signal from nanoparticles was masked by large transient signal coming from the MSR walls. It was possible to suppress the transient by about 5 times using a 1 m diameter compensation coil placed close to the MSR ceiling. A baseline was recorded without a phantom and subtracted from the signal recorded with a phantom in place. This difference reveals the relaxation signal primarily from the nanoparticles. Raw relaxation signals were fitted using a logarithmic function, $f_{\text{bin}}(t) = a_1 \ln(1 + a_2 t) + a_3$, where $a_i$ are fitting parameters and $t$ is the time, in the area of slow signal decay and a 5th-order polynomial fit of the early relaxation curve for extrapolation to time zero when the command was sent to switch off the magnetizing field. A dipole approximation was used for single vial localization and the magnetic moment was estimated using an inverse problem solution.

### III. RESULTS

A main goal of this work was to demonstrate ULF MRI and magnetic relaxometry using one SQUID-system. ULF MR imaging is a technically more complex method than magnetic relaxometry. It needs a much stronger pre-polarization field and many signal controls. However the conventional signal processing is straightforward and gives final volume distribution of nanoparticles. In our preliminary ULF MRI measurements we tested magnetic nanoparticles efficacy as a contrast agent using a phantom with the large vial filled with pure agarose and the medium vial filled with agarose containing uniformly distributed nanoparticles. Fig. 1 shows a 2D MR density image of this phantom. Although it is not a $T_2$-weighted MR image one can clearly see weak contrast in the case of the large vial with agarose and more visible contrast of the vial with nanoparticles. This arises from $T_2$ effects. We note that the two black spots in the center and top of the image are air bubbles.

In the image shown we did not make any corrections for geometrical distortions associated with concomitant gradients. In the future we will modify our system to minimize such gradients and also add well developed concomitant gradient correction algorithms in the data processing. Future imaging efforts will focus on performing 3D or volume localization of nanoparticles to within the accuracy of the image resolution. Also, a multi-echo imaging sequence should be implemented to highlight the contrast caused by the nanoparticles.

The magnetic relaxometry experiment was done using the small phantom placed in different positions with respect to the seven pick-up coils. The vial was placed 34 mm below the bottom of the dewar and in-between channels 1 and 2. Seven relaxation signals are shown in Fig. 2(a). An inverse problem solution using a single dipole approximation gives the vial center position: $x = 0.0$ mm, $y = -27$ mm, $z = -34$ mm with a magnetic moment estimated equal to $5 \times 10^{10}$ J/T. Fig. 2(b) shows the calculated dipole position with respect to gradiometer pick-up coils.
Using seven spatial points we were able to localize a single dipole source. However, for an extended source or for multiple sources the localization needs significantly more measurement positions (or channels) [3] or additional constraints on the solution. Input from ULF MR images can provide this spatial information as well as anatomical context and localization constraints. This will reduce the required number of channels and provide realistic spatial bounds for the inverse problem solution. Without ULF MRI data, magnetic relaxation may cause unacceptable error in magnetic moment estimation, i.e. in counting cancerous cells.

Fig. 2. a) Seven magnetic relaxation signals recorded from 1 ml vial, ID 10 mm, L 16 mm, placed 34 mm below the bottom of the dewar. b) The dipole localization using inverse problem solution. The calculated dipole coordinates are \( x = 0.0 \) mm, \( y = -27 \) mm, \( z = -34 \) mm.

Using seven spatial points we were able to localize a single dipole source. However, for an extended source or for multiple sources the localization needs significantly more measurement positions (or channels) [3] or additional constraints on the solution. Input from ULF MR images can provide this spatial information as well as anatomical context and localization constraints. This will reduce the required number of channels and provide realistic spatial bounds for the inverse problem solution. Without ULF MRI data, magnetic relaxation may cause unacceptable error in magnetic moment estimation, i.e. in counting cancerous cells.

IV. CONCLUSION

Here we present the possibility of combining magnetic relaxometry and ULF MRI in a single instrument. An image showing the influence of the nanoparticles as a contrast agent was obtained, and a plausible fit (assuming a single dipole model) for the location and strength of a magnetic dipole was obtained by magnetic relaxometry. While these preliminary measurements were made with separate phantoms, this work indicates the feasibility of a single system for such measurements. Future work will focus on using the MRI to constrain multiple dipole fitting. The combination of MRI with magnetic relaxometry will clearly improve our ability to accurately estimate and localize dipoles, with direct impact on the efficacy of the technique as a sensitive cancer diagnostic.
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