Terrain Identification on a One-Legged Hopping Robot using High-Resolution Pressure Images
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Abstract—For efficient and safe locomotion the gaits of legged robots should vary with the type of terrain. Hence, terrain surface classification is an important problem for this class of mobile robots. Prior research has developed approaches to proprioceptive terrain classification for both wheeled and limbed robots that use sensor measurements dependent upon the dynamics of the robot, which ultimately requires the classification system to be trained at a large number of operating conditions (e.g., vehicle speeds and loads). This research develops an approach to terrain identification based on pressure images generated through direct surface contact using a robot skin constructed around a high-resolution pressure sensing array. Terrain signatures for classification are formulated from the magnitude frequency responses of the pressure images. The methodology is used to train and test a classifier using dynamically measured pressure responses from a one-legged hopping robot. Experimental tests yield high classification accuracies, which are independent with respect to changing robot dynamics (i.e., different leg gaits). The findings of this paper suggest the methodology can be extended to autonomous field robots, providing the robot with crucial information about the environment that can be used to aid stability over rough terrains and enhance motion planning over varying terrains.

I. INTRODUCTION

A robot’s locomotion stability and operating efficiency depend on the device’s control strategy, leg gait, and the operating environment. Previous research with legged robots has developed a variety of stable leg gaits [1], [2], [3]. A robot’s performance however, can be heavily dependent on the type of terrain the robot is traversing [4], [5]. As a result of this terrain dependence, it is important to develop methodologies that enable a limbed robot to recognize new terrain surfaces as they are encountered.

Proprioceptive terrain classification has been accomplished in wheeled vehicles and electric powered wheelchairs primarily by using vibration sensors [6], [7], [8]. For AQUA, a RHex-type legged robot, proprioceptive classification has been performed by using robot leg angles and corresponding leg motor driving currents [9]. Even more recently, the leg motor currents in conjunction with dynamic models for the X-RHex Lite (XRL) robot have been used for surface identification [10]. However, a limitation of each of the above proprioceptive classification methods is that the terrain signatures used for classification are heavily dependent on the vehicle operating conditions such as the speed and load since the measurements are a function of the vehicle’s vibration dynamics. (This is discussed in some detail for wheeled robots in [11].) Hence, robust terrain classification requires training for a wide variety of operating conditions, which is a time consuming process and increases classification computational times.

This paper introduces a new proprioceptive method for terrain identification on limbed robots that is largely independent of the vehicle operating condition since it uses sensors that come into direct intermittent contact with the terrain surface. Based on a model of human skin, a robot skin is constructed using a high-resolution pressure sensing array. This robot skin is called Pressure Sensitive Robot Skin (PreSRS, pronounced “pressures”). A classification methodology is developed using the magnitude spatial frequency response of the pressure images obtained using PreSRS. An experiment applying PreSRS to a one-legged robot, the FAMU-FSU Hopper, demonstrates high classification accuracy can be achieved on four common terrain types.

The paper is organized as follows: Section II details how biological inspiration dictated the methodology used to design PreSRS. Section III describes how PreSRS was integrated onto the FAMU-FSU Hopper. Section IV presents the results from dynamic terrain classification experiments on the hopping robot. The results provide strong evidence that the classification approach based on PreSRS images is not dependent upon the vehicle’s operating conditions (e.g., leg gait). Section V concludes the paper and presents future work.

II. PreSRS: PRESSURE SENSITIVE ROBOT SKIN

Touch is mediated in human skin using four types of nerve receptors named Merkel, Ruffini, Pacini, and Meissner [12]. Nerve ending clusters respond to static and dynamic skin deformation events by sending the form, texture, location, and/or pressure intensity information of the contacting surface to the brain [13]. These qualities of human skin inspired the development of PreSRS using a high-resolution pressure sensing array, the TekScan\textsuperscript{®} #5051 sensor.
The sensing array, shown in Fig. 1(a), consists of 1936 individual piezoelectric based pressure sensors, evenly arranged in a 44 sensor × 44 sensor grid. The sensing array effectively measures pressure distribution across a 55.88 mm × 55.88 mm area within a 0-138 kPa range. Sensor readings are acquisitioned with the Evolution® device, also displayed in Fig. 1(a), at a 100 Hz sampling rate [14]. Measurements are saved as 8-bit 44 pixel × 44 pixel images $I$, and $I(i,j)$ represents the pixel corresponding to the individual sensor with grid indexes $(i,j)$.

Human skin consists of the three layers displayed in Fig. 1(b): the (lower) subcutaneous layer, the (middle) dermis layer, and the (outer) epidermis layer.

As illustrated with the PreSRS schematic in Fig. 1(b), the subcutaneous and epidermis skin layers were emulated using sheets of compliant material (each >3 mm thick). Human skin is a non-homogenous viscoelastic material, possessing mechanical properties that vary between individuals and skin location [15], [16]. The subcutaneous layer has a desired compliant property of conforming the skin to surfaces, allowing many nerve endings to make contact. A felt type material was found to have this property.

Fig. 1(b) correlates the high-resolution sensing array to the dermis layer of human skin where the nerve receptors reside. The sensor pitch value of 1.27 mm (i.e., the distance between sensors) closely matches human nerve pitch (i.e., the distance between nerves), which can be approximately 1.00 mm in fingertips [17].

The protective nature of the epidermal human skin layer was replicated with a hard silicon-rubber covering (>1 mm thick), as shown in Fig. 1(b). The silicon-rubber material has a high stiffness; therefore it deforms negligibly under compression and is resistant to puncture damage.

Altogether, PreSRS is approximately 10 mm thick. Each PreSRS layer was bonded together with 3M™ Super 77 spray adhesive.

III. PRESRS ON A ONE-LEGGED HOPPING ROBOT

Fig. 2(a) displays the FAMU-FSU Hopper, which can be modeled as a Spring Loaded-Inverted Pendulum (SLIP). The robot has two operating phases, as illustrated in Fig. 2(b): a stance phase, in which the hopper is in contact with a surface, and a flight phase [18].

Stance phase control uses an Active Energy Removal (AER) protocol that changes the robot leg length during a stance as described by

$$\zeta(t) = \zeta_o - \zeta_{dev} \sin(\omega t + \phi), \quad (1)$$

where $\zeta_o$ corresponds to the robot rest leg length, $\zeta_{dev}$ depicts the amplitude of recirculation, and the two control parameters $\omega$ and $\phi$ set the desired frequency and phase of recirculation, respectfully. The AER protocol was designed to allow the hopper to robustly run over large obstacles (up to 20% of the robot leg length) [4].

During the flight phase, the controller sets the next robot leg touchdown angle $\beta_{TD}^{n+1}$ using the governing equation,

$$\beta_{TD}^{n+1} = \beta_{LO}^{n} + c(\beta_{TD}^{n} - \beta_{des}), \quad (2)$$
where $\beta_{TD}^n$ is the previous leg touchdown angle, $\beta_{LO}^n$ is the previous leg liftoff angle, $c$ is a weighted parameter, and $\beta_{des}^{TD}$ is the desired touchdown angle.

The four control parameters $\omega$, $\phi$, $c$, and $\beta_{des}^{TD}$ mandate leg gaits $G_i(\omega, \phi, c, \beta_{des}^{TD})$. Parameters $\phi$ and $c$ are stabilizing factors, and parameters $\omega$ and $\beta_{des}^{TD}$ institute the robot's forward velocity and hop height [4], [18].

The surface area of the original hopper foot was too small to accommodate PreSRS, which has a $55.88 \times 55.88$ mm sensing area (44 pixel $\times$ 44 pixel). Hence, a new robot foot was designed featuring an elliptical base with cross-sectional dimensions of $48.3 \times 52.1$ mm (38 pixel $\times$ 41 pixel), as illustrated in Fig. 3. Taking design cues from human ankle biology, enables this new foot to capture consistent high-quality pressure measurements.

The human ankle allows the foot to remain stationary relative to the ground during a step; compressing the viscoelastic adipose tissue beneath the heel, dissipating energy and shock, and conforming the skin to the contacting surface [19], [20]. These biological qualities are replicated with a mechanically compliant ball joint connecting the foot to the hopper leg. Mechanical compliance is governed with the compression spring of Fig. 3. The connection allows the foot to pitch, yaw, and roll as the robot leg progresses through a step. The compliant layers composing PreSRS, shown in Fig. 1(b), compress at impact, which both absorb impact shock and maximize the contact area between the high-resolution pressure array and terrain.

Both the ankle and skin compliances contribute to the dynamic behavior exhibited by the hopper foot during a step, as illustrated in Fig. 4 with high-speed frame shots. As seen in Fig. 4, the foot base flattens, conforming to the surface, and remains stationary relative to the ground over the entirety of the step period, as the robot leg pivots about the ball joint of Fig. 3 from touchdown angle $\beta_{TD}^n$ to liftoff angle $\beta_{LO}^n$.

### IV. TERRAIN CLASSIFICATION

Previous terrain classification techniques that use data influenced by the robot dynamics have shown to be effective (achieving accuracies above 90%), so long as the robot operates in the same manner (i.e., leg gait $G_i$) that is used to train the classifier [8], [9], [10]. Changing operating modes, however, changes the dynamic behavior of the robot, which changes the terrain sensor data. As a result, classifiers for these systems have to be trained with data from multiple operating conditions.

A key motivation for developing PreSRS was to accurately identify terrains regardless of the system operating conditions. PreSRS was designed to capture terrain features through direct contact and not via the robot dynamics. Therefore, a classifier trained with pressure images associated with hopper leg gait $G_i$ should accurately identify terrain samples collected from gait $G_j$, where $i \neq j$.

It follows from the discussion in Section III, that for fixed stabilizing factors $\phi$ and $c$, a hopper leg gait $G_i(\omega, \phi, c, \beta_{des}^{TD})$ can be defined with the two control parameters $\omega$ and $\beta_{des}^{TD}$. Three distinct leg gaits were used in this experiment, $G_1$ (6.0 rad/sec, 0.27 rad), $G_2$ (6.5 rad/sec, 0.42 rad), and $G_3$ (7.0 rad/sec, 0.57 rad); each successive gait differed by increasing the control parameters $\omega$ and $\beta_{des}^{TD}$.

Dynamic pressure images were collected using PreSRS and the FAMU-FSU Hopper on four terrain types: smooth pine wood planks, standard flooring carpet, semi-moist clay dirt, and thick Spanish style grass. The circular (2.8 m diameter) track housed the terrains with barriers around the inside and outside perimeter.

Table I displays the average forward velocity of the robot per leg gait $G_i$ on each tested terrain. It should be noted that the robot operated at a greater speed on the grass terrain when compared to the velocities seen on the three other tested terrain types. The compliant nature of the grass used in this experiment may have tuned the hopper effective leg stiffness. Related research has shown that tuning leg stiffness on a RHex type robot can improve locomotion performance [21]. The variant forward velocities between terrains, shown in Table I, underscores the importance of a robot knowing
Fig. 5. Example pressure images for each test terrain captured with PreSRS on the FAMU-FSU Hopper. The images contain 38 pixels \( \times \) 41 pixels, corresponding to 1558 individual sensors.

Examples of pressure images for each terrain type, taken when the robot was operating with leg gait \( G_2 \) are shown in the second column of Fig. 5 in grayscale, where brighter pixels depict higher intensity values. As shown in Fig. 5, the fact that terrain samples captured using PreSRS can be visually distinguished, suggest strong evidence that a high accuracy classifier can be developed.

The Evolution\textsuperscript{©} acquisition device, displayed in Fig. 1(a), records sensor data at 100 Hz. The stance time (i.e., the amount of time the hopper keeps contact with a surface) was approximately 140 msec for each leg gait \( G_i \). The classification results described in this section were obtained by using the middle (i.e., the 7\textsuperscript{th}) recorded pressure image for classification purposes.

It has been shown in [8], [22] that the magnitude frequency response of the spatial domain terrain sample constitutes signatures unique to the terrain type. Hence, it can be used to define feature vectors for use with a classifier.

Feature vectors sets \( F_1, F_2, \) and \( F_3 \) are first aligned and padded with zeroes to obtain \( X \in \mathbb{R}^N \), such that

\[
X = \text{vec}(I),
\]

where \( \text{vec}(\cdot) \) is the standard row aligning operator, and \( N = 2048 \). The Fast Fourier Transform (FFT) is used to compute the (spatial) Discrete Fourier Transform \( Y \in \mathbb{C}^N \), given by

\[
Y(k + 1) = \sum_{\ell=0}^{N-1} X(\ell + 1)e^{-j2\pi k\ell/N},
\]

where \( Y(i) \) denotes the \( i \text{th} \) element of the vector \( Y \). Next, let \( Z \in \mathbb{R}^N \) denote the vector containing the magnitudes of the elements of \( Y \) such that

\[
Z(i) = \text{abs}(Y(i)), \quad i = 1, 2, \ldots, N.
\]

Since the elements of \( Z \) are mirrored, only the first half of the elements are placed in a feature vector \( F \in \mathbb{R}^n \), where \( n = N/2 + 1 = 1025 \).

Example magnitude frequency responses (i.e., feature vectors \( F_i \)) on the each tested surface type are shown in Fig. 6. A key characteristic shared by feature vectors \( F_1, F_2, \) and \( F_3 \) in Fig. 6, is that in each plot the magnitude spikes occur at the same frequency intervals (48.3 cycle/mm) but with different intensities on each terrain. This frequency interval is an artifact of the piecewise row aligning operation of (3). Spike magnitude differences between terrain types create distinguishing metrics for a classifier to identify.

### Table I

**Hopper Forward Velocities**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gait</th>
<th>Average Velocities [m/\text{sec}]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Wood</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( G_1 )</td>
<td>0.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( G_2 )</td>
<td>0.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( G_3 )</td>
<td>0.99</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The multiple images per terrain type and per leg gait recorded with PreSRS were allocated into three data sets \( S_1, S_2, \) and \( S_3, \) corresponding to hopper leg gaits \( G_1, G_2, \) and \( G_3, \) respectively. Over 300 pressure images \( I \) were collected per leg gait \( G_i \), for a total of 961 images. The bottom 6 rows and first 3 columns of image sets \( S_1, S_2, \) and \( S_3 \) were cropped to fit the new hopper foot dimensions 48.3 \text{mm} \times 52.1 \text{mm} or 38 pixels \times 41 pixels, corresponding to 1558 individual sensors.

The Evolution\textsuperscript{©} acquisition device, displayed in Fig. 1(a), records sensor data at 100 Hz. The stance time (i.e., the amount of time the hopper keeps contact with a surface) was approximately 140 msec for each leg gait \( G_i \). The classification results described in this section were obtained by using the middle (i.e., the 7\textsuperscript{th}) recorded pressure image for classification purposes.

It has been shown in [8], [22] that the magnitude frequency response of the spatial domain terrain sample constitutes signatures unique to the terrain type. Hence, it can be used to define feature vectors for use with a classifier.

Feature vectors sets \( F_1, F_2, \) and \( F_3 \) are first aligned and padded with zeroes to obtain \( X \in \mathbb{R}^N \), such that

\[
X = \text{vec}(I),
\]

where \( \text{vec}(\cdot) \) is the standard row aligning operator, and \( N = 2048 \). The Fast Fourier Transform (FFT) is used to compute the (spatial) Discrete Fourier Transform \( Y \in \mathbb{C}^N \), given by

\[
Y(k + 1) = \sum_{\ell=0}^{N-1} X(\ell + 1)e^{-j2\pi k\ell/N},
\]

where \( Y(i) \) denotes the \( i \text{th} \) element of the vector \( Y \). Next, let \( Z \in \mathbb{R}^N \) denote the vector containing the magnitudes of the elements of \( Y \) such that

\[
Z(i) = \text{abs}(Y(i)), \quad i = 1, 2, \ldots, N.
\]

Since the elements of \( Z \) are mirrored, only the first half of the elements are placed in a feature vector \( F \in \mathbb{R}^n \), where \( n = N/2 + 1 = 1025 \).

Example magnitude frequency responses (i.e., feature vectors \( F_i \)) on the each tested surface type are shown in Fig. 6. A key characteristic shared by feature vectors \( F_1, F_2, \) and \( F_3 \) in Fig. 6, is that in each plot the magnitude spikes occur at the same frequency intervals (48.3 cycle/mm) but with different intensities on each terrain. This frequency interval is an artifact of the piecewise row aligning operation of (3). Spike magnitude differences between terrain types create distinguishing metrics for a classifier to identify.
As a result, the PWE classifier identified pressure images from each robot leg gait with accuracies over 98% (the highest accuracy being 99.3%). The last row in Table II displays the overall (e.g., average) classification accuracy of the PWE classifier (i.e., the accuracy of identifying 861 terrain samples). Although the results are not displayed in this paper, the PWE classifier produced similar accuracies when trained with terrain samples collected at gaits $G_1$ and $G_3$. The identification attributes of the PWE classifier trained at gait $G_2$, the intermittent gait, displayed the best results.

These findings insinuate that a PWE classifier trained with 20 pressure images, per terrain type using any leg gait $G_i$ produces near perfect identification accuracies when identifying terrains from any leg gait $G_j$, where $i \neq j$. Hence, PreSRS demonstrates the desired quality of capturing terrain signatures independent of the robot dynamics.

Although not detailed in the paper, additional experiments have shown that the classification procedure is also load independent. Due to the nature of the sensing array whose output is proportional to the applied load, the effects of a change in load from the load during training can be accommodated by simply using a scaling factor. This method holds if the training load is known and the modified load of the vehicle is also known.

V. CONCLUSION

This paper describes the development and demonstration of a proprioceptive approach to surface classification that relies on high-resolution pressure images measured with a robot skin, called Pressure Sensitive Robot Skin (PreSRS). PreSRS was constructed using human skin as a template. The dermis layer consisted of a high-resolution pressure sensing array, which has a pressure sensor density similar to that of human skin. The compliant nature of human skin was replicated using a felt type fabric, which emulates the subcutaneous and epidermis layers. An outer layer of hard silicon-rubber protected PreSRS against puncturing or tearing.

A robot foot was developed for the FAMU-FSU Hopper, enabling the robot skin to have maximal contact with the terrain surface during the stance phases of motion. For a given gait, surface classification was accomplished by extracting pressure images for training and classification at a fixed stance time (i.e., the time after the foot initially impacts the ground). This proved to be highly effective.
Gait-independent terrain classification was demonstrated using the one-legged robot on four distinct terrains, operating under three leg gaits $G_1$, $G_2$, and $G_3$ of increasing velocity. Even though the PWE classifier was trained with data only from the intermediate gait $G_2$, it was able to identify pressure images recorded at all leg gaits, achieving a near perfect (99.0%) overall accuracy. This approach enables tremendous simplification of the training process in comparison to existing proprioceptive approaches since the classifier requires terrain measurements from just one operating condition, instead of many.

The surface classification approach is based on the observation from [8], [11] that the magnitude of the spatial frequency response of a surface constitutes a terrain signature. Principal Component Analysis was used to reduce the feature vector dimensions to achieve fast classification times. The classifier used in this research was a Parzen Windows Estimator (PWE).

However, as discussed in [23], no one classifier tends to have the overall best performance. Hence, two classification algorithms: Maximum Likelihood Estimation (MLE) and Support Vector Machines (SVM), were investigated; in addition to feature vectors derived from: 2D magnitude frequency response and Gray-Level Co-occurrence Matrix (GLCM) texture attributes [24]. All combinations of feature vector and classifier types produced similarly high accuracies and low computational times. 1D magnitude frequency response feature vectors and the PWE classifier were chosen due to these methods being the most practical to implement in regards to online terrain classification, which is one of the next steps for this research.

In the future, this work will enable autonomous running robots to modify their leg gaits in real-time to reflect changes in the environment; improving speed, stability, and efficiency of running in natural settings and enhancing the accuracy of motion planning. In addition, this approach can be applied to help the end effectors of robot manipulators to identify surface types.
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