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Abstract—Severe hypoglycemia is potentially life-threatening. This article introduces a novel hypoglycemia detection strategy using a hybrid particle swarm - based fuzzy support vector machine (SFisSvm) technique. The inputs of this system are six electrocardiographic (ECG) parameters. The system parameters of SFisSvm are optimized using a particle swarm optimization method. The proposed hypoglycemia detector system is a combination of two subsystems, namely, fuzzy inference system (FIS) and support vector machine (SVM). Two most significant inputs, heart rate and RTP, are fed to FIS, and its output is used for input of the SVM. The other ECG parameters and the output of FIS are fed to SVM and, then, are classified to indicate the presence of hypoglycemia. In this study, three and five membership functions are investigated for FIS. Furthermore, radial basis function (RBF), sigmoid and linear kernel functions are employed for mapping the inputs to high dimensional space in SVM. Performances of SFisSvm with different kernel functions are compared. As conclusion, the performance of SFisSvm is found with 75.19%, 83.71% and 79.33% in terms of sensitivity, specificity and geometric mean.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Severe hypoglycemia is a potentially serious problem in life which remits significant morbidity and mortality. In correlation with cardiovascular conditions, it could cause atrial fibrillation [1, 2], ventricular ectopics, sustained ventricular tachycardia, ventricular fibrillation and asystole [3]. Hypoglycemia in type 1 diabetic patients which happens during sleep was associated as the cause of the "dead in bed" syndrome [4].

In recent years, hypoglycemia detection methods have been interesting fields studied by research groups [5-7]. The detection is essentially employed to provide an early warning that hypoglycemia is happening, and then patients or their carers can perform appropriate actions to achieve normoglycemia. These appropriate actions could help patients to reduce hypoglycemic complications.

Based on invasiveness, there are three types of hypoglycemia detections, which are invasive, minimally invasive and noninvasive [8]. Obviously, the noninvasive type is a preferable choice because it is more convenient for patients to use this type of detection. Furthermore, noninvasive hypoglycemia detections mostly can be used for continuously hypoglycemic monitoring.

One of the interesting methods to detect hypoglycemia noninvasively is by means of physiological effects of hypoglycemia. In studies, hypoglycemia detection have been developed by means of hypoglycemic physiological effects on the heart [6], [9], [10], brain [5], [11], and skin [12].

Studies of hypoglycemia detection employed algorithm techniques to process ECG parameters to find a high performance of hypoglycemia detection. Several algorithms have been investigated for hypoglycemia detection; neural network [9], [13], fuzzy neural network [14], self-organizing fuzzy estimator [15], and genetic-algorithm-based multiple regression [6].

In general, those studies employ methods to reach satisfactory level of reliability in hypoglycemia detection using ECG parameters. Until recently, to the best of our knowledge, hypoglycemia detections using ECG parameters still require extensive validation before they can be adopted for worldwide clinical practices. Therefore, the presentation of this paper is an effort to develop a method to find hypoglycemia detection having high performance. The construction method in this paper is based on the classification techniques using hybrid particle swarm - based fuzzy support vector machine (SFisSvm).

SVM has proved good performance in general for classification in various application [16] including in application to classify features of cardiac signals [17-20]. Choosing SVM as a classification tool consider to its good performance and SVM classification ability to generalize well even with small size sample[21]. FIS also has showed good performance in many applications, including in medical field [22].

Swarm based SVM (SSvm) algorithms have also been studied for hypoglycemia detection using ECG parameters [23]. The proposed algorithm might improve the performance of the SSvm hypoglycemia detection by including fuzzy inference system (FIS) in the SSvm, arising SFisSvm.

This paper proposes a novel hypoglycemia detection strategy using hybrid particle swarm - based fuzzy support vector machine (SFisSvm). This hypoglycemia detection strategy employs six electrocardiographic (ECG) parameters as inputs and was constructed by two subsystems, FIS and SVM. Two most significant ECG parameters are fed to the FIS, and the other ECG inputs are fed directly to the SVM; the two most
significant ECG parameters are found from [23], which are the ECG parameters resulting the highest performance in hypoglycemia detection. The output of the FIS is also used as the input of the SVM; thus, there are five inputs for the SVM module. FIS is the famous system employing fuzzy logic and fuzzy set theory[24]. Its frameworks are based on the concepts of fuzzy set theory, fuzzy if-then rules, and fuzzy reasoning. The advantages of FIS include its ability to handle linguistic concepts and universal approximator, performing nonlinear relation between inputs and outputs. Thus, by applying FIS to the two ECG parameters a hypoglycemic index can be found from the two ECG parameters and the index is used for input of SVM.

The parameters of FIS and SVM are optimized using hybrid particle swarm optimization with wavelet mutation (HPSOWM) [25] which could find the optimal model of hypoglycemia detection with the best performance. Furthermore, the performances of SFisSvm using different kernel functions in hypoglycemia detection are presented; in addition these performances are also compared with the performance of the SSvm hypoglycemia detection [23].

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. Section II presents the construction of the proposed strategy; by describing FIS, SVM and HPSOWM. Section III presents the experimental results, and the conclusion for this research is drawn in Section IV.

II. METHOD

This paper presents hypoglycemia detection strategy by employing SFisSvm with inputs or ECG parameters, shown in Fig. 1. The ECG parameters used are HR, RTp_c, QT_c, TpTe_c, ToTe_c, and QTp_c (Fig. 2); the output is binary level, which is hypoglycemic or nonhypoglycemic level. In this system, HPSOWM [25] is used to optimize the parameters of FIS and SVM.

The ECG parameters are extracted form ECG signal (Fig. 2). Heart rate is 60/RR in which RR is the interval of the consecutive R peaks. The six ECG parameters of HR, RTp_c, QT_c, QTp_c, involve ventricular depolarization and repolarization. TpTe_c and ToTe_c involve only repolarization. The index of c in the parameters is to indicate that the ECG parameters are corrected using Bazett formula.

The parameters of FIS and SVM are optimized using hybrid particle swarm optimization with wavelet mutation (HPSOWM) [25] which could find the optimal model of hypoglycemia detection with the best performance. Furthermore, the performances of SFisSvm using different kernel functions in hypoglycemia detection are presented; in addition these performances are also compared with the performance of the SSvm hypoglycemia detection [23].

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. Section II presents the construction of the proposed strategy; by describing FIS, SVM and HPSOWM. Section III presents the experimental results, and the conclusion for this research is drawn in Section IV.

II. METHOD

This paper presents hypoglycemia detection strategy by employing SFisSvm with inputs or ECG parameters, shown in Fig. 1. The ECG parameters used are HR, RTp_c, QT_c, TpTe_c, ToTe_c, and QTp_c (Fig. 2); the output is binary level, which is hypoglycemic or nonhypoglycemic level. In this system, HPSOWM [25] is used to optimize the parameters of FIS and SVM.

The ECG parameters are extracted from ECG signal (Fig. 2). Heart rate is 60/RR in which RR is the interval of the consecutive R peaks. The six ECG parameters of HR, RTp_c, QT_c, QTp_c, involve ventricular depolarization and repolarization. TpTe_c and ToTe_c involve only repolarization. The index of c in the parameters is to indicate that the ECG parameters are corrected using Bazett formula.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Heart rate</th>
<th>RTp_c</th>
<th>FIS</th>
<th>SVM</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 1. SFisSvm for hypoglycemia detection with input of ECG parameter

As in the Fig. 1, FIS is used to find the approximating function between the two ECG parameters (HR and RTp_c) and hypo-index η. The output FIS η becomes one of the input of SVM.

The first step of FIS is to find the degree of membership function for these two ECG parameters. The membership function adopts the Gaussian membership function. The membership degree of HR can be expressed as

$$\mu_{N_{HR}}(HR(i)) = \frac{1}{2\sigma_{HR}^{2}} e^{-\frac{(HR(i)-m_{HR}^{i})^2}{2\sigma_{HR}^{2}}} \quad (1)$$

In which, for three membership functions, $m_{HR}^{i} = [m_{HR}^{1}, m_{HR}^{2}, m_{HR}^{3}]$ and $\sigma_{HR}^{i}$ are the mean value and the standard deviation of the membership functions, respectively. The membership degrees of the other input, RTp_c, are obtained using a similar way.

The second step is the mapping between the inputs and hipoindex η created by means of the fuzzy if-then rules as in the following rule:

Rule $\rho$: IF $HR(i)$ is $N_{HR}^{k}(HR(i))$ AND $RTp_{c}(i)$ is $N_{RTp_{c}}^{k}(RTp_{c}(i))$ THEN $\eta(i)$ is $w_{\eta}$

$$\rho \quad \text{IF} \quad \eta(i) = w_{\eta}$$

$$\rho \quad \text{THEN} \quad \eta(i) = w_{\eta}$$

where $N_{HR}^{k}(HR(i))$ and $N_{RTp_{c}}^{k}(RTp_{c}(i))$ are fuzzy terms of rule $\rho$. $\rho = 1, 2, \ldots, n; n_r$ denotes the number of rules. In the case of three membership functions, $n$ is 9 which is $(m_r^p)$; $m_r^p$ is the number of membership functions, and $p = 2$ is the number of inputs.

The third step of FIS is defuzzification, to find the hypoindex η, which uses the following formula

$$\eta(i) = \frac{\sum_{\rho=1}^{n_r} w_{\rho} \left[ \mu_{N_{HR}^{k}(HR(i))} \cdot \mu_{N_{RTp_{c}}^{k}(RTp_{c}(i))} \right]}{\sum_{\rho=1}^{n_r} \left[ \mu_{N_{HR}^{k}(HR(i))} \cdot \mu_{N_{RTp_{c}}^{k}(RTp_{c}(i))} \right]} \quad (3)$$

B. SVM

In Fig. 1, SVM is used to classify five inputs; four ECG parameters TpTe_c, ToTe_c, QTp_c, QTc_c and η (output of FIS). The output of SVM is the hypoglycemic level, which is hypoglycemic or non-hypoglycemic state. SVM is a classifier that constructs an optimal hyperplane which separates binary
class data. Let $X = \{(x_i, y_i)\}$ be a set of training data which can be linearly separated, where $x_i \in \mathbb{R}^m$ is an $m$ dimensional space and the associated $y_i \in \{-1, 1\}$ is class label, $i = 1, 2, \ldots, k$, $kn_i$ is the number of data. The optimal hyperplane can be defined by $w^T x + b = 0$, which maximally separates the training data; $w$ is the hyperplane perpendicular vector. The training data satisfy $y_i(w^T x_i + b) > 1; 0$, in which training data lie in the equality of this equation are support vectors. The optimum hyperplane can be determined through maximizing distance, referred as margin, between two hyperplanes: $w^T x + b = +1$ and $w^T x + b = -1$. The margin between those two hyperplanes is $2/\|w\|$. 

For many real world problems, such separating hyperplane does not exist. Hence slack variable $\xi_i$ is introduced and then $y_i(w^T x_i + b) \geq 1-\xi_i$. The optimal separating hyperplane is determined by minimizing

$$C \sum_{i = 1}^{n} \xi_i + \frac{1}{2} \|w\|^2$$

(4)

where $C$ is a constant that is used to control the tradeoff between margin size and error.

Searching the optimal hyperplane is performed using Lagrange multiplier approach through maximizing

$$L(\alpha) = \sum_{i = 1}^{n} \alpha_i - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i \neq j} \alpha_i \alpha_j y_i y_j (x_i \cdot x_j)$$

subject to

$$0 \leq \alpha_i \leq C \text{ and } \sum_{i = 1}^{n} y_i \alpha_i = 0$$

(6)

where $\alpha_i$ is the Lagrange multiplier.

In a case of imbalanced distributions between two class data, a higher error weight ($w_i$ or $w_j$) need to be given to the class with the smallest population [26]. Then (4) is modified by minimizing

$$w_i C \sum_{i \neq j}^{k} \xi_i + w_j C \sum_{i \neq j}^{k} \xi_i + \frac{1}{2} \|w\|^2$$

(7)

The inner-product in (5) is replaced by a kernel function $K(x_i, x_j)$ to map input data to higher dimensional space so that non-linearly separable data can be linearly classified. In this paper, three kernel functions are adopted;

Radial basis function (RBF),

$$k(x_i, x_j) = \exp(-\gamma \|x_i - x_j\|^2)$$

(8)

Sigmoid,

$$k(x_i, x_j) = \gamma (x_i \cdot x_j) + 1$$

(9)

linear,

$$k(x_i, x_j) = x_i \cdot x_j$$

(10)

In this paper, $C, w_i, w_j$ in (7) and $\gamma$ in (8) and (9) are parameters which are optimized using HPSOWM [25]. Finally, the class prediction for any test vector $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is given by

$$f(x) = \text{sgn}(\sum \alpha_i y_i k(x_i, x) + b)$$

(11)

in which $\text{sgn}$ is a signum function; the value of $f(x)$ which is greater than 0 is associated with +1 class and the negative one is associated with −1 class.

```
begin
  t→0 // iteration number
  Initialize $Z(t)$ // $Z(t)$: swarm for iteration $t$
  Evaluate $f(Z(t))$ // $f(.)$: fitness function
  while (not termination condition) do
    begin
      $t→t+1$
      Update velocity $v(t)$ and position of each particle $z(t)$ based on (13) – (15) respectively
      if $v(t) < v_{\text{max}}$, $v(t) = v_{\text{max}}$ end
      end
      Perform wavelet mutation operation with $\mu_w$
      Update $Z(t)$ based on (16) – (18)
      Reproduce a new $Z(t)$
    end
  Evaluate $f(Z(t))$
end
```

Figure 3. Pseudo code for HPSOWM

C. Hybrid particle swarm optimization with wavelet mutation

SFisSvm parameters are optimized to find the best performance of the hypoglycemia detection. The SFisSvm parameters are FIS parameters, which are mean value and the standard deviation of the membership functions in (1), and SVM parameters, which are $C, w_i, w_j$ in (7) and $\gamma$ in (8) and (9). To optimize the parameters, HPSOWM is investigated. HPSOWM performs optimization considering an evolutionary technique based on the movement of swarms and inspired by the social behavior of bird flocking and fish schooling [27] with wavelet mutation.

The algorithm of HPSOWM can be expressed as in the Fig. 3. The particles of the swarm $Z(t)$ are initialized and then are evaluated by a defined fitness function. The objective of HPSOWM is to minimize the fitness function $f(Z(t))$ of particles iteratively. The swarm evolves from iteration $t$ to $t + 1$ by repeating the procedures.

Particles fly through a search space with adjusted velocity and position. The velocity is adjusted as

$$v(t) = q(\varphi v(t-1) + c_1 r_1 (z_p - z(t-1)) + c_2 r_2 (z_g - z(t-1)))$$

(12)

and

$$z(t) = z(t-1) + v(t)$$

(13)

Where $z_p$ is the best previous position of a particle, and $z_g$ is the best particle position among the all particle. $r_1$ and $r_2$ are random functions in the range [0 1], and $\varphi$ is inertia weight factor. $c_1$ and $c_2$ are acceleration constants. $q$ is a constriction factor to ensure the system to be converged but not prematurely, which is formulated is in the following:
can be formulated as
\[ q = \frac{2}{2 - c - \sqrt{c^2 - 4c}} \] (14)
where \( c = c_1 + c_2 \) and \( c > 4 \). In general, \( \varphi \) can be formulated as in the following:
\[ \varphi = \varphi_{\text{max}} - \frac{\varphi_{\text{max}} - \varphi_{\text{min}}}{T} \times t \] (15)
where \( t \) is the current iteration number, \( T \) is the total number of iteration, \( \varphi_{\text{max}} \) and \( \varphi_{\text{min}} \) are the upper and the lower limits of the inertia weight, and are set to 1.2 and 0.1, respectively.

In the wavelet mutation operation, every element of particle has a chance to be selected to find mutation such that
\[ \varpi(t) = \begin{cases} z(t) + \sigma \times (\rho_{\text{max}} - z(t)) & \text{if } \sigma > 0 \\ z(t) + \sigma \times (z(t) - \rho_{\text{min}}) & \text{if } \sigma \leq 0 \end{cases} \] (16)
where
\[ \sigma = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{a}} e^{-\frac{z^2}{2a}} \cos \left( \frac{\sqrt{a} z}{a} \right) \] (17)
and \( \rho_{\text{max}} \) and \( \rho_{\text{min}} \) are the upper and lower boundary of the element of particle. The dilation parameter \( a \) is set to vary with the value of \( t/T \) as in the following:
\[ a = e^{-\frac{\ln(g) \left( 1 - \frac{t}{T} \right)^{\alpha_{\text{wm}}} + \ln(g)}{\alpha_{\text{wm}}} \] (18)
where \( \alpha_{\text{wm}} \) is the shape parameter of the monotonic increasing function, \( g \) is the upper limit of the parameter \( a \).

The objective function of The HPSOWM is as in the following
\[ \phi = -\left( \alpha \varrho_1 + (1 - \alpha) \eta_1 + \alpha \varrho_2 + (1 - \alpha) \eta_2 \right) + \sigma \] (19)
where \( \varrho_1 \) and \( \eta_1 \) are the sensitivity and specificity, respectively, obtained from the hypoglycemia detection model which is tested by using a training data set; and \( \varrho_2 \) and \( \eta_2 \) are the sensitivity and specificity, respectively, obtained from the hypoglycemia detection model which is tested by using a validation data set. The inclusion of \( \varrho_1 \) and \( \eta_1 \) in the fitness function is to reduce the risk of overtraining [28]. \( \alpha \) is set as 0.58 to avoid risk of low sensitivity.

To force a high sensitivity in the detection, \( \sigma \) is given by using the following definition,
\[ \sigma = \begin{cases} 10 & \text{if } \varrho_1 > 0.7, \eta_1 > 0.4, \varrho_2 > 0.7, \eta_2 > 0.4 \\ 0 & \text{Otherwise} \end{cases} \] (20)
The \( \sigma \) definition in (20) is to force the sensitivity and specificity to be higher than 70% and 40%, respectively.

### III. Result

The proposed hypoglycemia detection strategy using SFisSvm was investigated using the ECG parameters resulted from a hypoglycemia study. The hypoglycemia study was performed at Princess Margaret Hospital in Perth, Australia, with approval from Women’s and Children’s Health Service, Department of Health, Government of Western Australia, and with informed consent. Five patients with type-1 diabetes voluntarily participated for this study.

The ECG parameters used in the study are (i) TpTe, (ii) ToTe, (iii) RTPc, (iv) QTc, (v) QTPc, and (vi) HR. Index of \( c \) in the parameters indicates that the heart rate correction for the variables uses the Bazett’s formula [29], which is normalized using square root of \( RR \) interval. The detail of procedure to obtain these ECG parameters from the patients’ ECG signals is referred to [23].

The resulted ECG parameters are 1327 and 399 data points of non-hypoglycemia and hypoglycemia, respectively. The data points were randomly divided to three subsets having same size; thus each subset consists of 575 data points of nonhypoglycemia and 133 data points of hypoglycemia. The three subsets were used as training, validation and final testing data sets. The training data set was used during the training to create a hypoglycemia detection model. The validation and training data set were used to test the hypoglycemia detection model during the optimization. The testing data set was used to test the best hypoglycemia detection model obtained from the optimization.

The optimized membership functions of the SFisSvm which employed RBF (SFisSvmR) are plotted in Fig. 4 and the tabulated fuzzy rule is presented in Table I. Three Gaussian fuzzy terms are used; the terms are L (low), M (medium) and H (high). The optimized fuzzy singleton for different fuzzy terms is presented in Table I. Fuzzy if-then rules (9 rules) can be presented using the singletons, such as: IF HR(\( i \)) is “H” AND RTPc is “H”, THEN \( \eta(\( i \)) \) is “H” (or \( \delta = 0.9132 \)).
The other optimized parameters are the parameters relating to SVM; they are \( C, g, w_0, w_1 \) as presented in Table II. These parameters relates to SFisSvm using RBF kernel function. The optimized \( w_0 \) and \( w_1 \) are 0.0987 and 0.9917, respectively. These values show that to find the optimal performance the hypoglycemic class uses far higher weight factor (\( w_1 \)) than non-hypoglycemic class weight factor (\( w_0 \)). As mentioned above, the data number of hypoglycemic class is around a third of nonhypoglycemic class, and thus it needs higher weight factor. Furthermore, the training used 250 iterations with population size of 50. The values of the fitness function during the optimization of SFisSvmR are plotted in Fig. 5.

The performances of the hypoglycemia detections using the SFisSvm which employed RBF (SFisSvmR), sigmoid (SFisSvmS) and linear kernel (SFisSvmL) functions are described in Table III. The performances are presented in terms of sensitivity, specificity and geometric mean (\( gm \)). Geometric mean or \( gm \) equals to the square root of the multiplication of sensitivity and specificity [31], or \( gm = \sqrt{\beta \cdot \alpha} \). The geometric mean is suitable to indicate performance of imbalanced data. This work used an imbalanced data in which data number of nonhypoglycemia is about triple of the number of hypoglycemia data. The presented result involves the performance in training, validation and testing. For comparison purpose, the result of the detection using swarm based SVM algorithm [30] is also presented in Table III. The algorithm involves the swarm based SVM applying RBF kernel function (SSvmR), sigmoid kernel function (SSvmS) and linear kernel function (SSvmL).

As indicated in Table III, SFisSvmR outperform SFisSvmS and SFisSvmL in all three terms, which are sensitivity, specificity and geometric mean. The outperformance includes in training, validation and testing. Furthermore, the proposed methods outperform to the associate SVM without fuzzy inference system (SFisSvmR vs. SSvmR and SFisSvmSL vs. SSvmL) in all three terms of training, validation and testing. The performances of SFisSvmS and SVMS are nearly same. Thus, in general the proposed algorithm which is hybrid fuzzy SVM is higher than SVM without fuzzy. SFisSvmR was also investigated using five membership functions (tabulated in Table IV), and the resulted performance is nearly same with that uses three membership functions. The best performances of the proposed hypoglycemia detection strategy are 75.19%, 83.71% and 79.33% in terms of sensitivity, specificity and \( gm \).

The performances of the hypoglycemia detections using the SFisSvm which employed RBF (SFisSvmR), sigmoid (SFisSvmS) and linear kernel (SFisSvmL) functions are described in Table III. The performances are presented in terms of sensitivity, specificity and geometric mean (\( gm \)). Geometric mean or \( gm \) equals to the square root of the multiplication of sensitivity and specificity [31], or \( gm = \sqrt{\beta \cdot \alpha} \). The geometric mean is suitable to indicate performance of imbalanced data. This work used an imbalanced data in which data number of nonhypoglycemia is about triple of the number of hypoglycemia data. The presented result involves the performance in training, validation and testing. For comparison purpose, the result of the detection using swarm based SVM algorithm [30] is also presented in Table III. The algorithm involves the swarm based SVM applying RBF kernel function (SSvmR), sigmoid kernel function (SSvmS) and linear kernel function (SSvmL).

As indicated in Table III, SFisSvmR outperform SFisSvmS and SFisSvmL in all three terms, which are sensitivity, specificity and geometric mean. The outperformance includes in training, validation and testing. Furthermore, the proposed methods outperform to the associate SVM without fuzzy inference system (SFisSvmR vs. SSvmR and SFisSvmSL vs. SSvmL) in all three terms of training, validation and testing. The performances of SFisSvmS and SVMS are nearly same. Thus, in general the proposed algorithm which is hybrid fuzzy SVM is higher than SVM without fuzzy. SFisSvmR was also investigated using five membership functions (tabulated in Table IV), and the resulted performance is nearly same with that uses three membership functions. The best performances of the proposed hypoglycemia detection strategy are 75.19%, 83.71% and 79.33% in terms of sensitivity, specificity and \( gm \).

![Figure 5. Fitness function values during the optimization of the SFisSvm which employed RBF kernel function (SFisSvmR).](image)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( C )</td>
<td>39394.4265</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( g )</td>
<td>51.6522</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( w_0 )</td>
<td>0.0987</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( w_1 )</td>
<td>0.9917</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

![Table I. Fuzzy Rule Table](image)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>RTpc</th>
<th>HR</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>M</th>
<th>H</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>L</td>
<td>0.7408</td>
<td>0.5360</td>
<td>0.7631</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M</td>
<td>0.6854</td>
<td>0.5547</td>
<td>0.9509</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H</td>
<td>0.0500</td>
<td>0.1083</td>
<td>0.9132</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

![Table II. Optimized SVM Parameters](image)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
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<tbody>
<tr>
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</tr>
<tr>
<td>( g )</td>
<td>51.6522</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( w_0 )</td>
<td>0.0987</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( w_1 )</td>
<td>0.9917</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

![Table III. Performances of the Hypoglycemia Detection Algorithms](image)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>SSvm [30]</th>
<th>SFisSvm</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SSvmS</td>
<td>SSvmL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>88.72</td>
<td>53.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>83.46</td>
<td>45.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>79.70</td>
<td>53.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>gm</td>
<td>gm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Values in%</td>
<td>79.01</td>
<td>61.54</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

![Table IV. Performances of SFisSvmR Using 3- and 5-membership Functions](image)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>SFisSvmR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3 membership function</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Training</td>
<td>Sens.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>100.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>100.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Validation</td>
<td>Sens.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>78.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>76.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Testing</td>
<td>Sens.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>75.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>75.19</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
IV. CONCLUSION

This article has presented the strategy of SFisSvm implemented for hypoglycemia detection. HPSOWM has been employed to optimize the fuzzy and SVM parameters. Compared to the hypoglycemia detection strategy by using SVM algorithm only, the proposal hybrid fuzzy and SVM system has an improvement in term of the performance of the hypoglycemia detection. Furthermore, three kernel functions for the SVM, and three- and five- membership functions have been investigated for this strategy. The best performance of the hypoglycemia detection found in the experiment is 75.19%, 83.71% and 79.33% in terms of sensitivity, specificity and geometric mean. A further study could be conducted by implementing the proposed algorithm in a real time device.
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