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Abstract — Current noninvasive treatments for tinnitus have shown mixed results. There have been encouraging developments in using invasive brain or vagal nerve stimulation to modulate neural populations driving the tinnitus percept. However, these invasive treatments can only be used in a small patient population with severe conditions. In this preliminary study, we present a new treatment option we call Multimodal Synchronization Therapy (MST), which attempts to achieve synchronized and localized brain activation without invasive neural stimulation. MST combines multiple sensory, motor, limbic, and cognitive inputs to elicit activation of multimodal neurons to potentially modulate specific neurons driving the tinnitus percept. We present preliminary data in a guinea pig model showing activation of somatosensory and auditory pathways to alter neural activity within the inferior colliculus, a multimodal integration region that has shown pathological changes in animals and patients with tinnitus. Electrical stimulation of different body locations induced excitatory responses in the inferior colliculus, eliciting responses in up to 41% of all recording sites for a given somatic site. Paired somatic and acoustic stimulation resulted in enhanced or suppressed acoustic-driven neural activity in the inferior colliculus that varied depending on stimulation and recording location. Similar modulation effects were observed in the auditory cortex, which may relate to changes in auditory perception. Further studies need to incorporate multiple multimodal pathways and must also confirm that MST can suppress the abnormal neural patterns that directly drive the tinnitus percept.

I. INTRODUCTION

Tinnitus, a neurological disorder resulting in a phantom sound generated within the brain in the absence of an external sound source, affects about 250 million people worldwide and is debilitating for about 1% of the world population [1, 2]. Tinnitus is caused by abnormal neural plasticity (changes in the properties of neurons) that occurs throughout the auditory system, and has been linked to tonotopic reorganization, hyperactivity, hyper-synchrony across neurons, and altered spiking patterns [2-5]. Currently, noninvasive treatments show mixed results across patients [1, 2, 6-9]. There have been recent developments in using invasive cortical, deep brain, and vagal nerve stimulation to directly modulate the neurons driving the tinnitus percept [7, 10-12]. However, these treatments are available only to a limited subset of patients due to the surgical risks and costs associated with device implantation.

We propose a new tinnitus treatment using noninvasive brain activation that targets specific neural populations in the auditory system through multimodal integration. Previous studies have reported that tinnitus can be modulated through manipulations of the eyes, head, neck, jaw, and shoulders [2, 13, 14], which is consistent with the existence of multimodal integration across motor and sensory pathways [15, 16]. Other studies have identified somatosensory, visual, motor, limbic, and cognitive inputs into the auditory system, including the inferior colliculus (IC) [17-24]. For example, Fig. 1 shows a simplified schematic of somatosensory projections to the external region of the inferior colliculus (ICX) that interact with neurons in the central nucleus of the inferior colliculus (ICC), part of the core auditory pathway. We hypothesize that artificially activating these different multimodal pathways with the appropriate timing will elicit synchronized activation and neural plasticity within specific neural populations in the auditory system. Auditory plasticity has been achieved when co-activating auditory and somatosensory pathways with specific timing [24, 25].
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With this approach, which we call Multimodal Synchronization Therapy (MST), we will attempt to suppress abnormal firing patterns by targeting specific auditory neural populations that are driving the tinnitus percept. This paper presents our initial work in animals investigating the multimodal interactions between the somatosensory and auditory pathways. In particular, we investigated the effects of electrical stimulation of different locations across the body on auditory responses within the IC and primary auditory cortex (A1).

II. METHODS

Experiments were performed on three young female Hartley guinea pigs (295-410 g; Elm Hill Breeding Labs, Chelmsford, MA) according to the policies of the University of Minnesota Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee. Basic surgical and analysis procedures have been described in detail in previous work [26, 27] and are only briefly described in this paper.

Animals were anesthetized with intramuscular injections of a mixture of ketamine (40 mg/kg) and xylazine (10 mg/kg) with supplements to maintain a non-reflexive state. The animals were fixed in a stereotaxic frame (David Kopf Instruments, CA) and a craniotomy was performed to access the right visual and auditory cortices. A silicon-substrate 32-site electrode array (NeuroNexus Technologies, MI) was inserted into either the right ICC or right ICX of the animal using a hydraulic micro-manipulator (David Kopf Instruments, CA). Electrode placements were determined to be in either the ICC or ICX based on frequency response maps (FRMs) derived from responses to acoustic stimulation. ICC placements were characterized by FRM responses with sharp tuning and a clear tonotopic gradient from the shallow, low frequency sites to deeper, high frequency sites. ICX placements were characterized by FRM responses with broad tuning and a reversed or absent tonotopic representation. An additional 32-site array was sometimes inserted into the right primary auditory cortex (A1) depending on the experiment. All recording electrode placements were posthumously verified through histological examination of electrode tracts and three-dimensional computer reconstructions [26]. Subcutaneous needle electrodes were inserted into specific somatic stimulation sites including the neck, shoulders, back, and rear thighs of the animal. An additional needle electrode was placed on the surface of the tongue and a ball electrode was inserted into the animal’s genital area. To ensure consistent stimulation locations across animals, we used defined relations to anatomical landmarks when placing them under the skin (e.g. immediately posterior to shoulder joints).

Experiments were performed inside a sound attenuating, electrically-shielded booth. All acoustic stimulation was presented to the animal’s left ear canal using a speaker coupled to a custom-made hollow ear bar calibrated with a 0.25 in condenser microphone (ACO Pacific, CA). Using custom software coded in Matlab (Mathworks, MA) and TDT hardware (Tucker-Davis Technology, FL), multi-unit neural activity was recorded and sampled at a rate of 25 kHz, passed through analog DC-blocking and anti-aliasing filters from 1.6 to 7.5 kHz, and digitally filtered between 0.3 and 3 kHz for spike analysis. Spikes were identified as voltages exceeding 3.5 times the standard deviation of the noise floor. Acoustic stimulation included either pure tones or broadband noise. Pure tones (1-40 kHz at 8 steps per octave; 0-70 dB SPL in 10 dB steps; 50 ms duration with 5 ms rise/fall ramp time) were used to obtain FRMs. Electrical stimulation of the somatic sites consisted of single biphasic, charge-balanced pulses (205 s/phase, cathodic-leading) at 560 A, which was the maximum level that elicited activation within the ICC and ICX without causing any muscle twitching across animals. Each of eight somatic sites were stimulated in a randomized pattern for 50 trials at a rate of 2/s. Post-stimulus time histograms (PSTHs) for each of the ICC and ICX recording sites in response to somatic stimulation were plotted for further analysis. Additionally, paired stimulation consisting of somatic stimulation of one body region (same parameters as above) and acoustic broadband noise (50 dB SPL; 50 ms duration with 0.5 ms rise/fall ramp time) was also performed. The ICC, ICX, and A1 responses to paired stimulation were compared to responses to acoustic-only stimulation (100 trials at 2/s for each) to assess the effects of somatic activation. For all cases, an unequal variance two-tailed ranked t-test (p<0.05) was used to confirm a significant change in activity between paired and acoustic-only stimulation based on total spike rate [28].

III. RESULTS & DISCUSSION

Somatic stimulation alone elicited responses predominately in the ICX along with a few locations in the ICC, as shown in Fig. 2. This is consistent with previous studies [20, 29]. Each recording site was determined to be in ICC or ICX based on FRMs, and sites that were on the ICC/ICX border or difficult to classify were not included. Some somatic stimulation sites yielded responses in a large fraction of the ICX recording locations, with neck stimulation having the highest percentage at 41%. Differences in activation thresholds and patterns were found depending on the somatic site being stimulated. Some regions in the ICX were activated by more than one somatic site at a level of 560 μA (red bars in Fig. 2 sum to over 100%). Also, single recording sites in the IC had different current thresholds depending on which somatic site was stimulated (results not shown).

The results in Fig. 2 illustrate that somatic stimulation can modulate many neurons in the auditory midbrain, including the ICC within the core auditory pathway, which is encouraging for MST implementation. It is possible that somatosensory activation of the ICC occurs through polysynaptic pathways via the ICX or through other auditory regions that then project to the ICC (Fig. 1). It is also possible that somatosensory activation of the ICC occurs through non-auditory cortical regions that project to the auditory cortex and down to the ICC [21, 30, 31]. By investigating additional current levels closer to the activation
threshold for each recording site, we can further assess if it is possible to locally activate regions within the ICC and ICX, which could enable MST to target specific neural populations driving the tinnitus percept.

Paired stimulation resulted in a wide range of response patterns across recording locations and somatic stimulation sites. PSTH examples of both paired and acoustic-only stimulation for two different locations in the ICX, ICC, and A1 are presented in Fig. 3. Somatic stimulation induced suppression and enhancement of acoustic-driven activity at various sites in both the ICC and ICX. Summarization of the different patterns and their dependence on recording and somatic stimulation location is currently in progress. Similar to the effects in the midbrain, we observed enhanced and suppressed activity in A1 depending on the recording and stimulation locations. These results demonstrate that somatic stimulation not only elicits excitatory responses in the IC, but can also modulate acoustic-driven activity in A1 either via the ascending auditory pathway or through other non-auditory pathways. The suppression results in Fig. 3 are encouraging for MST implementation considering that tinnitus has been linked to hyperactivity across the auditory midbrain. Somatic stimulation combined with acoustic stimulation could potentially suppress this hyperactivity and reduce or eliminate the tinnitus percept.

There are still several questions that need to be investigated to assess if MST can in fact suppress pathological neural activity related to the tinnitus percept. The preliminary results presented in this paper provide initial neurophysiological evidence that somatic stimulation across the body can modulate and suppress activity within the auditory system. However, tinnitus can be associated with different types of abnormal patterns (e.g., varying temporal/bursting patterns and hypersynchrony) that only occur in a subset of neurons [2-5]. The ability to appropriately modulate specific neurons in the IC and A1 would be required of MST in order to target particular neural populations with abnormal firing patterns driving the tinnitus percept. We are currently investigating if a somatotopic map exists within the IC that could enable systematic activation of specific auditory neurons. In particular, we would attempt to stimulate multiple body locations with appropriate timing among sites to cause synchronized activation of a subset of multimodal neurons within this somatotopic map. An investigation of latencies for different somatic sites and for different IC recording areas would reveal appropriate stimulation sites and timing parameters to use for MST. We will also investigate visual, motor, limbic, and cognitive inputs as additional multimodal integration sources. Additionally, MST must be able to induce long-term changes such that continuous treatment would not be required to suppress tinnitus. Particularly, we need to demonstrate that spontaneous (not just acoustic-driven) spiking activity of neurons can be altered and neural
networks can be desynchronized using MST since those features have been linked to tinnitus. Following these investigations, we must demonstrate that this alteration of neural activity directly corresponds to the elimination of the tinnitus percept. This demonstration can be achieved through MST experiments in tinnitus animal models, utilizing behavioral testing for changes in tinnitus perception [25, 32]. Since MST is noninvasive and can use electrical and acoustic stimulators already approved for human use, clinical trials can also be conducted with tinnitus patients. These questions are currently being considered and investigated by our research group as we continue to develop MST as a treatment option for tinnitus. We will also consider MST as a potential treatment for other neurological disorders that consist of abnormal but reversible brain patterns (e.g., phantom limb pain and motor tremors).
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