Abstract—This paper analyzes the response of optical fiber current sensors that are subjected to high electric fields, such as fields encountered in gas-insulated systems. This paper shows that through the electrooptic (EO) Kerr effect, these fields can cause harmonic distortion of the measured ac current waveform. This harmonic distortion was confirmed experimentally. Also, this paper shows that it is possible to simultaneously measure both current and voltage waveforms and the phase between them using this effect. To minimize the electrooptic Kerr effect, optical fiber current sensors must be screened from high-electric fields.

Index Terms—Electric field effects, electrooptic Kerr effect, gas insulated systems, optical fiber current sensor, polarimetric, Sagnac.

I. INTRODUCTION

The response of optical current sensors placed in high electric field environments, such as gas-insulated systems (GIS), can be influenced by the electrooptic (EO) Kerr effect. The EO Kerr effect is an electric-field-induced linear birefringence that arises when an electric field changes the polarizability of the glass molecules [1]. Linear birefringence, either from stress, bending, waveguide form, or the EO Kerr effect, alters the response of a Faraday effect current sensor (a sensor based on a magnetic-field-induced circular birefringence) [2]. In this paper, we analyze the EO Kerr effect on polarimetric and interferometric Sagnac optical fiber current sensors in high electric fields. We also show experimentally the harmonic distortion, induced by the EO Kerr effect, on a polarimetric fiber current sensor.

Optical fiber current sensors have several economic and performance advantages over conventional current transducers in fault detection and metering. The bandwidth and high dynamic range of these sensors provide the power utility engineer with a diagnostic tool for evaluating generators and the transmission grid [3], [4]. Due to their all-dielectric design, installation costs are significantly lower, and sensor failure does not pose a threat to power utility personnel or equipment. Only recently, after almost three decades of development, are these sensors entering the market [3]–[10]. The GIS environment or other highelectric potential applications are where optical sensors have the greatest economic advantage, but no study of the EO Kerr effect on these sensors has been made.

Over the last two decades, there have been field tests of optical current sensors in GIS environments [11]–[16]. However, the EO Kerr effect on these sensors was not noted or detected. Some reasons for not detecting the EO Kerr effect in these current sensors are low or nonuniform electric fields, short optical path, and polarizer alignment.

The EO Kerr effect has been used for many years to measure high voltages and electric fields [17], [18]. Most applications are with liquids that have a large temperature dependence, due to the nonlinear polarizability of the molecules in the liquid or glass, and require the proximity of electrodes [18], [19]. In fibers the EO Kerr effect has been used for voltage sensing and modulating the polarization state [20], [21]. However, the temperature dependence of the EO Kerr effect in fiber and the difficulty of making a stable sensor with low stress sensitivity have discouraged its use [21].

In this paper, we also show that a conventional polarimetric fiber current sensor, placed in a high electric field, can be used to simultaneously measure the current and voltage waveforms and the phase between them. This is accomplished by the proper arrangement of the polarizer/analyzer angles and separating the harmonics produced by the applied current and voltage. In power transmission and distribution, current and voltage are typically measured with separate transducer heads, both with conventional electrically coupled sensors and optical sensors [4], [11], [12], [7]–[9], [22], [23]. The simultaneous measurement of voltage and current, using the EO Kerr effect or Pockels effect, has been previously shown [24]–[26]. However, none of these sensor designs has been demonstrated in a high power utility application. Although we do not demonstrate a fiber sensor using the EO Kerr effect in a high power utility application, we simulate the high power environment in the laboratory. Also, our sensor arrangement does not require two detection systems [26], a magnetostrictive element [24], or a modified Sagnac interferometer with bulk elements [25].

II. THEORY

A. Polarimetric Sensors

The output polarization state of a fiber current sensor with linear birefringence, a linear input polarization state at \( \theta \), and an output polarizer at \( \beta \) is found using Jones’ calculus as shown in (1) at the bottom of the next page [16], [27]. In (1), \( \delta \) is the retardance due to linear birefringence, \( \rho \) is the circular retardance due to the Faraday effect, and \( \Delta = (\delta^2 + 4\rho^2)^{1/2} \) [16]. We will assume \( \delta = \delta_s + \delta_{EOK} \) where \( \delta_s \) is the static

Manuscript received January 18, 1998; revised March 17, 1999.

The authors are with the Optoelectronics Division, the National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST), Boulder, CO 80303 USA.

Publisher Item Identifier S 0733-8724(99)04505-3.
retardance, $\delta_{\text{EO Kerr}}$, is the EO Kerr retardance, and the retardance axes are at 0°. A fiber current sensor with multiple turns around a conductor has $\rho$ equal to $\mu VN I$ (where $\mu$V is the Verdet constant in units of rad/A, $N$ the number of fiber turns around the conductor, and $I$ the electric current [8]). The output intensity of this system, $I_o = |E_{xx}|^2 + |E_{yy}|^2$, is [28], [29]

$$I_o = \frac{1}{2} + \rho \frac{\sin \Delta}{\Delta} \frac{\sin(2\beta - 2\theta)}{\sin(2\beta - 2\theta)} + \frac{\epsilon^2}{8} \frac{\sin^2(\Delta/2)}{(\Delta/2)^2} \cos(2\beta + 2\theta) + \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\epsilon^2}{\Delta^2} \cos^2(\Delta/2) + \frac{4\rho^2}{\Delta^2} \cos \Delta \right) \cos(2\beta - 2\theta).$$  

Typically, a current sensor has $\beta$ and $\theta$ set so that $\sin(2\beta - 2\theta) = \pm 1$ when $\pm \beta = \pm \pi/4 + \theta$ and $\cos(2\beta - 2\theta) = 0$. Also, the input polarization axis is aligned with the retardance axis ($\theta = 0^\circ$), so $\cos(2\beta + 2\theta) = 0$. Thus, with the typical arrangement an optical current sensor will have a “difference-over-sum” response of $2\rho \sin(\Delta/\Delta) [16]$. (The difference $I_x$ is $I_x(+\beta) - I_x(-\beta)$. The sum $I_y$ is $I_y(+\beta) + I_y(-\beta)$. If $\theta \neq 0$ and $\pm \beta = \pm \pi/4 + \theta$ then the “difference-over-sum” response of the sensor can be expressed as

$$\frac{I_x}{I_y} = 2 \rho \frac{\sin(\Delta)}{\Delta} + \frac{\epsilon^2}{4} \frac{\sin^2(\Delta/2)}{(\Delta/2)^2} \cos(2\beta + 2\theta).$$  

Also, if $\sin(2\beta - 2\theta) = 1$ is held while $\theta$ is rotated, a value of $\theta = \theta'$ can be found such that $\cos(2\beta + 2\theta') = 1$ [28]. At $\theta'$ the response of the current sensor has a maximum sensitivity to changes in $\delta$, via temperature, vibration, and the EO Kerr effect.

The retardance due to the EO Kerr effect has the functional form $\delta_{\text{EO Kerr}} = 2\pi L K E^2$, where $L$ is the length of the optical path in the field, $K$ is the EO Kerr constant equal to $(5.3 \pm 0.2) \times 10^{-16}$ m/V$^2$ at 23°C in silica, and $E$ is the electric field strength [21], [30]. $\delta_{\text{EO Kerr}}$ becomes large enough to affect the response of an optical fiber current sensor when $L \approx 10$ m and when the sensor is placed where $E_o \geq 1$ MV/m rms, such as the GIS environment. For exposed or air-cooled power lines the electric field typically is less than $\sim 120$ kV/m rms. Under these conditions the effects of $\delta_{\text{EO Kerr}}$, for fiber lengths from 1 to 10 m, are below the noise floor of the current sensor and not measurable. Because $K$ has a large temperature dependence [21], $\delta_{\text{EO Kerr}}$ increases the temperature dependence of an optical sensor.

When an optical fiber current sensor is placed in a high electric field and the polarizers are fixed so that (3) applies, the response of the sensor will have additional harmonics of the fundamental frequency $\omega$. For $I = I_o \sin(\omega t)$ and $E = E_o \sin(\omega t + \phi)$, (3) can have the form, $I_o/|I_o| \approx A \sin(\omega t) + B \sin(\omega t + 2\phi) + C \sin(2\omega t + 2\phi) + D \sin(3\omega t + 2\phi) + E \sin(4\omega t + 2\phi)$, where

$$\begin{align*}
A &= 2\rho_o - \frac{\epsilon^2}{\Delta^2} \cos^2(\Delta/2) + \frac{4\rho^2}{\Delta^2} \cos \Delta \\
B &= -D = \frac{\delta_{\text{EO Kerr}}} {\delta_{\text{EO Kerr}}} \left( 2 \delta_{\text{EO Kerr}} + \delta_{\text{EO Kerr}} \right) \\
C &= \frac{\delta_{\text{EO Kerr}}}{2} \cos(2\beta + 2\theta) \\
E &= \frac{\delta_{\text{EO Kerr}}}{8} \cos(2\beta + 2\theta)
\end{align*}$$

(4)

$\phi$ is the phase between the current and voltage, $\rho_o$ is $\mu V N I_o$, and we assume $\delta_{\text{EO Kerr}} < 1$. Minor components of the power series expansion of (3), powers of $\delta_{\text{EO Kerr}}$, and $\rho$ larger than two, and higher frequencies are neglected. The odd-harmonic components, $A, B, C$, and $D$, arise from the first term in (3) and the even-harmonic components, $C$ and $E$, arise from the second term in (3). $C$ and $E$ depend on the polarizer alignment relative to the axis of $\delta_{\text{EO Kerr}}$ and can be made zero. $B, C$, and $D$ depend directly on $\delta_{\text{EO Kerr}}$. Even with $\delta_{\text{EO Kerr}} = 0$ the EO Kerr effect will have a signal at the third and fourth harmonics.

For example, a fiber sensor, with $N = 8$ and operating at 830 nm [11], placed in a GIS with 550 kV rms, 4.5 kA rms, operating at 60 Hz, would have a 0.5-m diameter outer conductor [31] so that $L = 12.7$ m. At 830 nm, $\mu V = 2.58 \mu rad/A$ [32], so that at full current $\rho_o$ is 93 mrad. With $E = 3.3$ MV/m rms, at full voltage, $\delta_{\text{EO Kerr}}$ is 460 mrad. Assuming $\delta_{\text{EO Kerr}} = 174$ mrad (10°) and with the above values, $(A + B)$ is about 184 mrad rms, $C$ is about 40 mrad rms, $D$ is about 142 mrad rms, and $E$ is about 26 mrad rms. For this example, the ratio $\delta_{\text{EO Kerr}}/\rho$ is about 5.

Fig. 1 (shows (3), with the values above, for cases where $\cos(2\beta + 2\theta') = 1$, $\cos(2\beta + 2\theta') = 0$, and the voltage is off with $\delta_{\text{EO Kerr}} = 0$. In all cases $\phi = 0$. The optical response in Fig. 1 shows significant distortion due to the second and fourth harmonic terms ($C$ and $E$). For the magnitude of these harmonics to be insignificant (less than 0.1% of the fundamental), $\delta_{\text{EO Kerr}}$ would need to be less than 2 mrad, or $\delta_{\text{EO Kerr}}/\rho < 0.02$, or $E$ would need to be less than 220 kV/m rms. Even when the sensor polarizers are aligned so that the second term in (3) is 0, the response waveform is distorted by the electric field, through $\delta_{\text{EO Kerr}}$ (terms $A$, $B$, and $D$) as shown by the dashed line in Fig. 1. Thus, when $E$ is large enough $\delta_{\text{EO Kerr}}$ will effect a current measurement in an optical fiber sensor regardless of polarizer arrangement. Polarizer arrangements where $\theta \neq 0$ will only increase the effect of $\delta_{\text{EO Kerr}}$ on the sensor response.

$C$ and $E$ could be used to measure the applied voltage and phase when the applied current does not have harmonic

$$
\left( \begin{array}{c}
E_{xx} \\
E_{yy}
\end{array} \right) = \left( \begin{array}{c}
\cos(\Delta/2) + i \frac{\delta \sin(\Delta/2)}{\Delta/2} \\
\frac{\sin(\Delta/2)}{\Delta/2}
\end{array} \right) \times \left( \begin{array}{c}
\cos(\Delta/2) + i \frac{\delta \sin(\Delta/2)}{\Delta/2} \\
\frac{\sin(\Delta/2)}{\Delta/2}
\end{array} \right) \times \left( \begin{array}{c}
\cos(\theta) \\
\delta \sin(\theta)
\end{array} \right).
$$

(1)
Fig. 1. The calculated response of a polarimetric optical fiber sensor in a 550 kV rms, 4.5 kA rms, 60 Hz GIS with \(\delta_{\text{EOK}}/\rho = 5\). The solid line has \(\cos(2\beta + 2\theta) = 1\), dashed line has \(\cos(2\beta + 2\theta) = 0\), and dotted line has \(\delta_{\text{EOK}} = \delta_\phi = 0\).

content at \(2\omega\) and \(4\omega\) that would interfere with the measurement. However, a voltage measurement using \(C\) or \(E\) will be further complicated by the temperature dependence of \(\delta_{\text{EOK}}, (1/K)(dK/dT) = \left(5.6 \pm 0.2\right) \times 10^{-6}^\circ\text{C}^{-1}\) [21], and \(\delta_\phi, (1/\delta_\phi)(d\delta_\phi/dT) = \pm\left(5.7 \pm 0.2\right) \times 10^{-8}^\circ\text{C}^{-1}\) [33]. The temperature dependences of \(A + B, C, D,\) and \(E\) are

\[
\frac{1}{(A + B)} \frac{d(A + B)}{dt} \approx \frac{1}{V} \frac{dV}{dt} - \frac{\delta_\phi d\delta_\phi}{3} \frac{d\delta_\phi}{dT} - \frac{\delta_{\text{EOK}}}{3} \left(K \frac{1}{K} \frac{dK}{dT}\right) \approx \left(60 - 1900\delta_\phi^2 - 1800\delta_{\text{EOK}}^2\right) \times 10^{-6}^\circ\text{C}^{-1}.
\]

\[
\frac{1}{C} \frac{dC}{dt} = \frac{1}{K} \frac{dK}{dT} \approx 0.6%/^\circ\text{C}.
\]

\[
\frac{1}{D} \frac{dD}{dt} = \frac{1}{V} \frac{dV}{dt} + \frac{1}{K} \frac{dK}{dT} \approx 0.62%/^\circ\text{C}.
\]

\[
\frac{1}{E} \frac{dE}{dt} = 2\alpha + 2\delta_\phi \frac{d\delta_\phi}{dT} \approx 1.12%/^\circ\text{C}.
\]

(5)

We have assumed that \(\delta_\phi < 1, \delta_{\text{EOK}} < 1, \rho < \delta_\phi, \phi = 0,\) and that the temperature dependence of \(\delta_\phi\) is positive. Also, \(\alpha\) is the thermal expansion coefficient of silica glass \((0.55 \times 10^{-6}^\circ\text{C}^{-1})\) [34]) and the temperature dependence of the Verdet constant is \(69 \times 10^{-6}^\circ\text{C}^{-1}\) [35]. The temperature dependence of \(K\) dominates the second through fourth harmonic signals’ temperature dependence. To be useful, these signals would need temperature correction. The temperature dependence of \(\delta_{\text{EOK}}\) could reduce or compensate for the temperature dependence of \(\mu V\) in a current measurement when \((192 \text{ mrad} > \delta_{\text{EOK}} > 30 \text{ mrad})\) or \((69 \times 10^{-6}^\circ\text{C} > 1800\delta_{\text{EOK}}^2 \times 10^{-6}^\circ\text{C} > 1 \times 10^{-6}^\circ\text{C})\). A temperature could be determined from \(C\) and \(E\), to correct a voltage measurement. Filtering the ac and dc components of \((3)\) has been used to compensate the temperature dependence of \(\delta_\phi\) in these fiber current sensors [28].

The phase \(\phi\) is equivalent to the phase between \(\omega\) and \(2\omega\) components, and can be measured by performing a Hilbert transform on the response waveform [36]. Selection of individual harmonic signals after a fast Fourier transform (FFT) and followed by an inverse FFT are performed to create an analytic form for each frequency. The phase difference between the applied current and voltage is determined from the analytic form. Depending on the value of \(\delta_\phi\), either \(C\) or \(E\) can be used with \((A + B)\) to measure the voltage, and with the current and phase values an estimate of the electric power can be made.

Our example sensor in a GIS environment, with a noise equivalent signal of \(1 \text{ mrad}/\text{Hz}\), would have a noise equivalent electric power (NEP) of \(\delta_{\text{EOK}}^2\) W with a 1 Hz bandwidth, when \(C\) is used to measure the voltage. If \(E\) was used to measure the voltage, the NEP would be about 1 kW. (The noise equivalent voltage is different for \(C\) and \(E\) because of their nonlinear response.) The average power is about 2.5 GW, when \(\phi = 0\), for a 550 kV, 4.5 kA GIS line.

B. Interferometric Sensors

A loop Sagnac current sensor will not have the same response or dependence on retardance as a polarimetric sensor would have, but will still have a distorted wavefront due to \(\delta_{\text{EOK}}\). The response function of a loop Sagnac current sensor is [37], [38]

\[
\Delta\phi_{\text{loop}} = 2\rho \frac{\tan(\Delta)}{\Delta},
\]

(6)

where \(\Delta\phi_{\text{loop}}\) loop is the measured phase shift in the interferometer. An in-line Sagnac current sensor has a similar response except that \(\Delta\phi_{\text{in-line}} = 2\Delta\phi_{\text{loop}}\) [37]. Fig. 2 shows the response of the loop Sagnac current sensor using \((6)\) with the previous values used for the polarimetric sensor in a high electric field environment. The two cases presented in the figure have the voltage off with \(\delta_\phi = 0\) and the voltage on with \(\delta_\phi = 174 \text{ mrad (10}^\circ\text{)}\).

Equation \((4)\) can be written so that \(\Delta\phi \approx A_S \sin(\omega t) + B_S \sin((\omega + 2\phi) t) + C_S \sin(3\omega t + 2\phi)\), where

\[
A_S = 2\rho_0 + \frac{\delta_{\text{EOK}}^2 \rho_0 + 3\delta^2_{\text{EOK}} \rho_0}{60} + \frac{\delta_{\text{EOK}} \rho_0}{480},
\]

\[
B_S = C_S = \frac{\delta_{\text{EOK}} \rho_0 (2\delta_\phi + \delta_{\text{EOK}})}{240}
\]

(7)

assuming \(\delta_\phi < 1\) and \(\delta_{\text{EOK}} < 1\). Minor components of the power series expansion of \((6)\), powers of \(\delta_\phi, \delta_{\text{EOK}},\) or \(\rho\) greater than 2, and higher frequencies are neglected. For the terms in \(A_S\) and \(B_S\), terms that depend on \(\delta_{\text{EOK}}\), to distort the response of the Sagnac sensor by less than 0.1%, \(\delta_{\text{EOK}}\) must be less than 276 mrad, when \(\delta_\phi = 174 \text{ mrad (10}^\circ\text{)}\) and \(\phi = 0\). For our example sensor, \(E\) would need to be less than 2.7 MV/m rms. For \(C_S\) to effect the sensor’s response by less than 0.1%, \(\delta_{\text{EOK}}\) must be less than 690 mrad.
The temperature dependence of \((A_S + B_S)\) is similar to the temperature dependence of \((A + B)\) and is

\[
\frac{1}{(A_S + B_S)} \frac{d(A_S + B_S)}{dT} \\
\approx \frac{1}{V} \frac{dV}{dT} + \frac{2 \delta_S}{3} \frac{d\delta_S}{dT} + \frac{2 \delta_{sEOK}^2}{3} \left( \alpha + \frac{1}{K} \frac{dK}{dT} \right) \\
\approx (69 + 3800 \delta_s^2 + 3733 \delta_{sEOK}^2) \times 10^{-6}/\degree C,
\]

We have assumed that \(\delta_s < 1\), \(\delta_{sEOK} < 1\), \(\rho < \delta_s\), and that the temperature dependence of \(\delta_s\) is positive. For the \(\delta_{sEOK}\) term to be less than \(1 \times 10^{-6}\), \(\delta_{sEOK}\) should be less than 16 mrad. A Sagnac current sensor’s temperature dependence increases rapidly as \(\delta_{sEOK}\) increases beyond 16 mrad. \(C_S\) has the same temperature dependence as \(D\).

III. SIMULATED GIS ENVIRONMENT

We have designed an apparatus to safely simulate the GIS environment in the laboratory using low power electric circuits. A schematic of the circuit is shown in Fig. 3(a) along with a sketch of the optical fiber current sensor placement. The branches of the circuit are inductively and capacitively coupled, so that low power is flowing in each. One branch provides about 100 A rms with a potential less than 1 V rms. The other branch provides about 2.5 kV rms with a current less than 1 mA rms. The circuits were operated at a frequency of 100 Hz. The annealed optical fiber is placed into the gap formed by the disc and ring, as shown in Fig. 3(b). The gap is about 2.5 mm wide and filled with a silicone dielectric gel. Fields were limited to about 1 MV/m rms between the disc and ring because of arcing across the top surface of the gel. Even though this field strength is below the lowest GIS field of about 1.27 MV/m rms [31], we were able to demonstrate EO Kerr effect in an optical fiber current sensor.

IV. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

An optical fiber coil with \(N \approx 26\) and \(\sim 15\) cm diameter was twisted and annealed to reduce the linear retardance \(\delta_s\) to about 471 mrad \((27^\circ)\) [2], [39]. The value of \(\delta_s\) was confirmed with the measured and predicted magnitude of \(2\rho \sin \delta_s / \delta_s\) and by the rotating polarizer method [40]. Also, the birefringence axes of \(\delta_{sEOK}\) and \(\delta_s\) coincide within \(\pm 1^\circ\). The length \(L\) of the fiber in the field is about 11.6 m. (Some of the fiber turns did not lie in the gap between the disc and ring, shortening the field interaction length.) The single-mode fiber was operated at a wavelength of 636 nm so that values of \(\rho\) similar to values found in GIS applications could be generated. The input polarizer was set so \(\cos(2\beta + 2\phi) \approx 1\), and the EO Kerr signals remained at a maximum.

With \(\sim 120\) A rms passing through the disc, \(\rho\) is about 14 mrad. (The Verdet constant \(\mu V\) at 636 nm is \(4.58 \pm 0.01\) \(\mu rrad/A\) [32].) With \(\sim 2.48\) kV rms applied to the ring \((E \approx 992\) kV/m rms\) \(\delta_{sEOK}\) is about 38 mrad. These values of \(\rho\) and \(\delta_{sEOK}\) give a ratio \(\delta_{sEOK}/\rho\) of about 3. This ratio \(\delta_{sEOK}/\rho\) is near that of a sensor, similar to the one described in the theory section, in a 300 kV, 3 kA, GIS environment. By reducing the current or \(\rho\) we could increase the ratio to simulate other GIS environments, but in this case our \(\delta_{sEOK}\) was about a factor of 10 smaller than a sensor placed in typical GIS applications.

The response of our sensor taken with a signal analyzer is shown in Fig. 4. Three harmonics of the fundamental drive frequency, at 100 Hz, are many decibels above the noise floor. The marks on each peak show predicted values from \(A + B\), \(C\), \(D\), and \(E\). The predicted and measured signals at 300 Hz do not agree in large part because our current source has a third-harmonic component. Both applied current and voltage can be measured, from the magnitude of the 100, 200, or 400 Hz signals, if the current does not have significant harmonic (greater than 0.1%) content.

From the 100 Hz signal in Fig. 4 a noise equivalent current of about 2.6 mA/\(\sqrt{Hz}\) can be determined. From the 200 Hz
Fig. 4. The spectral response of our sensor with $\sim992$ kV/m rms and $\sim120$ A rms at 100 Hz. $\delta_{EOK}/\rho \approx 3$ and the "*" marks show the predicted values.

signal, a noise equivalent voltage (NEV) of about 15 V with a 1 Hz bandwidth can be determined. The 400 Hz signal provides an NEV of approximately 420 V. The difference in the two NEV’s is due to the nonlinear nature of the 200 and 400 Hz response functions. From these noise equivalent values a NEP can be estimated for our sensor at about 40 mW when using the 200 Hz voltage signal, and about 1 W when using the 400 Hz voltage signal. The apparent measured average electric power at the sensor is about 298 kW rms, when $\phi = 0$. Our noise equivalent $\rho$ was less than 1 $\mu$rad/\sqrt{Hz} for the current signal shown in Fig. 4.

Fig. 5 shows the wave form of this sensor with the current reduced to about 50 A rms and the voltage at about 2.25 kV or $E \approx 900$ kV/m rms. This gives a $\delta_{EOK}/\rho$ ratio of about five, similar to Fig. 1. The phase between the voltage and current is about 91$^\circ$. In the figure the solid line corresponds to the voltage off and the dashed line corresponds to the voltage on. The addition of a second harmonic is apparent in the asymmetric waveform and similar to the shape of the theoretical waveform shown in Fig 1. In Fig. 1, $\delta_{EOK}$ is 460 mrad, which is large enough to distort the waveform of a sensor even with the polarizers aligned so that $\cos(2\beta + 2\theta') \neq 0$. In our sensor $\delta_{EOK} \approx 38$ mrad, too small for $\delta_{EOK}$ to visibly distort the measured waveform when the polarizers are so aligned.

To measure the phase $\phi$ between the applied current and voltage we recorded the optical waveform and separately measured $\phi$ electrically. Then we performed a Hilbert transform to separate the fundamental and the second harmonic so that the magnitude and phase of each could be determined. The uncertainty of the optical method of determining the phase was $\pm 0.2^\circ$. The optical and electrical phase measurements differed by about $6^\circ$ because of additional phase in the electrical probes.

Fig. 6 shows the angle dependence of the sensor’s second-harmonic signal as the input polarizer is rotated. A normalized $\sin(2\theta')$ function is shown for comparison. For each mea-
C with fields as small as 600 kV/m. The given for the fourth harmonic. These values for the second, and will increase /2.5 MV/m are reached for polarizers aligned to give a power dependence of with the theoretical predictions. A least-squares fit to the data signal is maximized. The data are in measurable agreement above, and the polarizers are arranged so that the EO Kerr harmonic and signals is shown in Figs. 7 and 8. The predicted values of the harmonics signals generated by the EO Kerr effect, can be altered temperature dependence due to the retardance produced by the EO Kerr effect. For minimum EO Kerr effects, polarizer alignment and sensor placement must be considered. A meteorizing class optical fiber current sensor on a high voltage line will need a screened low electric field location to minimize this effect. If the sensor is placed in a GIS with a high electric field and no screening, it should be located where the temperature is stable. This distortion of the ac current waveform can easily become significant, greater than 0.1%, with only a few turns of fiber or lengths approaching 10 m. For the waveform distortion to be greater than 0.1% in the Sagnac or typically aligned polarimetric sensor, electric fields >2.7 MV/m are required when L ≈ 12 m.

A polarimetric sensor, with polarizers aligned to maximize the harmonics signals generated by the EO Kerr effect, can be used to simultaneously monitor the current, voltage, and phase of a GIS power line. However, the temperature dependence of these harmonics is near 1%/°C and harmonics on the ac current waveform can make these measurements difficult.

In high electric field environments, the Sagnac current sensor may have a higher stability and less EO Kerr waveform distortion than the polarimetric sensor. However, the polarimetric sensor has a smaller temperature dependence and can even have some temperature compensation of the Verdet constant temperature dependence. The Sagnac’s temperature dependence, 1/(A + B) × d(A + B)/dT, will increase more than 1 × 10^-4/°C with fields as small as 600 kV/m. The polarimetric current sensor’s temperature dependence, 1/(A + B) × d(A + B)/dT, will not increase more than 1 × 10^-4/°C until fields >2.5 MV/m are reached for polarizers aligned to minimize the EO Kerr effect.

V. CONCLUSION

As optical fiber sensors are used more in power industry GIS applications, the EO Kerr effect will need to be considered in the design and installation of both polarimetric and interferometric sensors. Current sensors placed in high electric fields or GIS environments will have either a response distortion or altered temperature dependence due to the retardance produced by the EO Kerr effect. For minimum EO Kerr effects, polarizer alignment and sensor placement must be considered. A meterizing class optical fiber current sensor on a high voltage line will need a screened low electric field location to minimize this effect. If the sensor is placed in a GIS with a high electric field and no screening, it should be located where the temperature is stable. This distortion of the ac current waveform can easily become significant, greater than 0.1%, with only a few turns of fiber or lengths approaching 10 m. For the waveform distortion to be greater than 0.1% in the Sagnac or typically aligned polarimetric sensor, electric fields >2.7 MV/m are required when L ≈ 12 m.

A polarimetric sensor, with polarizers aligned to maximize the harmonics signals generated by the EO Kerr effect, can be

![Fig. 8. The field dependence of our sensor’s fourth-harmonic signal. The solid line is the predicted value with L = 11.5 m, and cos(2β + 2δ1) ≈ 1.](image)
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