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Abstract—This paper outlines attempts to enhance student learning by addressing different learning styles through course hypermedia. Students learn through a variety of different learning styles. Previously, instructors were unable to effectively address these different learning styles outside the classroom. Students were limited to paper-based course materials that presented information primarily in a sequential manner according to the author’s perception of the organization of the material. While this addressed the needs of some students, others did not prepare effectively because the presentation differed significantly from the student’s preferred learning style. Two approaches were developed to address this problem. The first approach was the development of hypermedia courseware. This provided a wide variety of tools which students could use to prepare for lessons. In this way students retained complete control over how they prepared for a lesson and could choose those hypermedia tools that were most conducive to their learning. An assessment of the multimedia and hypertext documents in the course revealed that the value of a particular multimedia tool to a student varied widely. Each student was traversing the course material according to his/her unique learning style. Unfortunately, the plethora of tools confused some students because they were uncomfortable making active choices of what course material would be most conducive to their learning. As a result, a second approach was adopted. An adaptive hypermedia interface was developed that provided dynamic tailoring of the presentation of course material based on the individual student’s learning style. By tailoring the presentation of material to the student’s learning style, the authors believe students learned more efficiently and more effectively.

Index Terms—Hypermedia, learning styles, multimedia.

I. INTRODUCTION

This paper outlines attempts to enhance student learning by addressing different learning styles through hypermedia courseware. Students learn through a variety of different learning styles. Previously, instructors were unable to effectively address these different learning styles outside the classroom. Students were limited to paper-based course materials that presented material primarily in a sequential manner according to the student’s perception of the organization of the material. While this addressed the needs of some students, many others could not prepare effectively because the presentation of course material differed significantly from the student’s preferred learning style.

To address this problem, a series of hypertext, multimedia, and hypermedia tools were developed as the basis for enhancing the course, CS383, Computer Systems [1]–[3]. These tools provided a rich selection of World Wide Web (WWW) hypermedia-based tools. The enhancements to the course were enthusiastically received by students and significantly improved the performance of many students. The increased student control and flexibility in deciding how and when they studied transformed many students from passive to active participants in the learning process.

Unfortunately, it also confused some students as they were unsure as to how to proceed through the plethora of course materials. Anecdotal evidence based on student surveys over three semesters suggested that approximately 25% of the students remained confused and uncertain at the end of the semester as to how to effectively use the hypermedia material [4]. This wasted student time and required a different approach than simply presenting course material to students.

From this response it was clear that a mechanism for tailoring the presentation of the course material was needed. By tailoring lesson presentation to the individual student, students could learn more in less time because the students could absorb the material more rapidly and were more receptive to how the material was presented. As a result, an adaptive hypermedia approach using student learning styles was developed.

II. PREVIOUS EFFORTS

Adaptive hypermedia interfaces have been discussed previously in several papers [5]–[11]. These papers focused on dynamically assembling information and presenting that
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III. FELDER'S LEARNING MODEL

Selection of a learning style model is key to the development of a hypermedia course that addresses different learning styles. While several models are currently being used such as the Kolb/McCarthy model [17], [18] and the Myers-Briggs Type Inventory [19], the authors believe that the Felder Model is most appropriate for hypermedia courseware. Felder's Learning Model categorizes an individual's preferred learning style along a sliding scale of five dimensions as shown in Fig. 1 [14]. While these preferences are dynamic on a daily basis, in general an individual can be categorized with a preferred learning style in each dimension.

IV. THE HYPERMEDIA COURSE

Hypermedia CS383 provides a vast array of media elements to support the transfer of information. The hypermedia course provides: 143 audio files, 63 graphic files, 57 digital movies, instructor slideshows for every lesson, lesson objectives, note-taking guides, a student legacy system with over 471 student papers and slideshows from previous semesters, and 300 pages of course hypertext with 178 cross references, 678 terms with pop-up definitions, and 600 terms that students can search. These hypermedia resources were primarily used outside of the classroom to facilitate student preparation and lesson review. A wide variety of tools were developed to address the different learning styles of our students and provide them with real choices in preparing for a lesson.

Each hypermedia course element supports one or more of Felder's learning dimensions. However, regardless of which hypermedia lesson component is chosen, all components support both active and reflective learners. Instead of passively accepting the information presented, the hypermedia course forces students to constantly make choices. This facilitates active learners who become energetically involved in the learning process. Reflective learners are likewise facilitated by the computer-based nature of the material. Students can stop and reflect at any point during their studies and ponder the meaning of the material presented. The other learning styles are supported to varying degrees by the different hypermedia lesson components as reflected in Fig. 2. Subsequent sections explore each hypermedia course component and the student learning styles supported.

Clicking on the lesson objective icon on the course web page takes the student to the lesson objectives for each lesson. If the student selects this option at the main menu, all of the course lesson objectives are presented. If the student selects this option at the lesson level, only the objectives for that lesson are presented. These provide an overview of what the instructor feels is important in the lesson and allows the student to focus on key concepts. Most students begin their study by reviewing these objectives.

Lesson objectives particularly address global, verbal, and intuitive learners. Global learners appreciate the lesson overview before the details are presented. Students can also scan the objectives of different lessons or the entire course and determine the "big picture." Lesson objectives provide global learners with this information and a means of easily jumping between different lessons so that the connection between the different lessons will be clear.

Lesson objectives also address verbal learners. Verbal learners prefer words, either oral or written, as their preferred method of learning. Lesson objectives facilitate a textual overview of the course material. Finally, intuitive learners respond to concepts and the lesson objectives detail the main concepts of the course. Without the clutter of detailing facts, intuitive learners can rapidly explore the main ideas in a course and how they are related.

Each lesson slideshow is structured around the learning objectives. The slideshow consists of a series of lesson objectives separated by their supporting slides. This provides the overall lesson structure and helps to keep the student focused on the lesson objectives at hand. Overall, there are approximately 600 slides in 25 lessons. Three flavors of the slideshow were prepared: text, basic slideshow, and full multimedia.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lsn Objectives</th>
<th>Slide shows</th>
<th>Hypertext</th>
<th>Response System</th>
<th>Digital Library</th>
<th>Media Clips</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Global</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sequential</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Verbal</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Visual</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Active</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reflective</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sensing</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intuitive</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
These slideshows facilitate verbal, visual, sequential, sensing, and intuitive learners. Verbal learners like the text version of the slideshows so that they can read the slideshows. Visual learners prefer the public domain and full multimedia versions of the slideshows. Sequential learners like the structure of the slideshows where each lesson objective is supported by slides so that the objectives can be sequentially explored. Intuitive learners favor the concepts covered through slideshows and the open-ended nature of the slideshows while the sensing learners like all of the embedded media elements and facts contained therein that directly address their sensing needs. With the exception of the global learners, the electronic slideshows provide an excellent tool for student preparation outside the classroom.

Course reading assignments [20], [21] were supplemented with a hypertext file covering each lesson’s material. The hypertext file was based on the Windows Help engine and was developed using Doc-to-Help 1.6. These products were used due to the widespread use of Microsoft Windows by Academy cadets and the ease of use and low cost for Doc-to-Help. The hypertext features 678 terms with pop-up definitions, 700 index terms, and 178 cross references in a 300-page hypertext document.

The course hypertext supports sequential, global, sensing, and verbal learners. Sequential learners benefit from the hierarchical structure of the hypertext that allows them to sequentially explore the course material in detail. Global learners prefer the search capabilities of the hypertext which allows them to jump to various topics, explore topics of interest, and build their own cognitive map of the course material. Sensing learners like the factual nature of the material covered in the hypertext. Finally, verbal learners prefer to learn via words and the hypertext is over 300 pages of detailed text. In fact, despite the fact that course instructors primarily associate an image with a concept. Finally, the videos allow the instructor to emphasize key points or areas that require clarification so that the student does not make a common mistake. The graphics display the concepts being discussed and allow the student to associate an image with a concept. Finally, the videos allow the instructor to illustrate key points and to show a sequence of events as they occur. Although these videos are large files, they are key to illustrating concepts that can be readily lost in a sea of words.

The audio, graphic, and video files support verbal, visual, sequential, sensing, and intuitive learners. The media tools are effective for different learners because they are tools that can be wielded to complement different learning styles. Verbal learners like the sound files. Visual learners like the digital movies and graphics. Global, sequential, and intuitive learners like the digital movies depending on how the material is presented. Sensing learners are affected directly and revel in all the media tools since these tools convey primarily factual information, not concepts, through the senses.

V. OTHER HYPERMEDIA TOOLS

A. CS383 Virtual Computer

The CS383 virtual computer allows students to construct a virtual computer and run a series of different benchmarks.
against their virtual computer. Students construct a virtual computer by clicking on the different components that they wish to configure and selecting from the options presented in drop-down boxes. Currently fourteen components of the computer can be configured. The students then select one of five different benchmarks and click on the Go! button. As the benchmark is running, the student views an animation with the components of the computer that are being most heavily utilized. After the benchmark runs, the student receives feedback as to what the dominant bottleneck in the computer system was and how to fix it.

The CS383 virtual computer facilitates global, visual, and verbal learners. Students can explore the internal workings of a computer by building one and testing it. Global students benefit the most by having the ability to quickly vary system parameters and see the impact on the overall system. The interrelationships between various computer components can be explored and different strategies for building a computer investigated. Visual learners are attracted to the interface into the virtual computer and the video segments. Verbal learners prefer the HTML text.

**B. CS383 DOOM Scenario**

The CS383 DOOM Scenario allows students to take quizzes in a DOOM gaming environment. DOOM is a pseudo three-dimensional commercial gaming engine that is extremely popular among computer gamers. It features a fast, real-time gaming environment. The purpose of DOOM is for the player to complete a scenario involving the recent invasion of demonic aliens from another dimension. The player, armed initially with only a pistol, rapidly explores a series of rooms to find the exit to the next level. Aliens encountered along the way attack the player.

DOOM is used as the basis for a cooperative in-class and out-of-class exercise. Working in an out-of-class group of three or four, students complete an 80-question multiple choice exercise. In class, the groups check their answers in a graded DOOM scenario. There are 20 rooms per level and five levels corresponding to processors, motherboards, storage systems, graphics systems, and as a bonus, the Department of Electrical Engineering and Computer Science. Each question becomes a room with four doors representing four possible answers. Incorrect answers result in confrontations with monsters while correct answers result in additional ammunition and medical kits.

Due to student interest in the game and their grade, there is often a lively debate among the group members concerning which door to open and why one answer is better than another. To minimize game playing ability, students are allowed to save their game as they progress through the levels. Furthermore, due to the popularity of the game, it is easy to find and assign students so that each group has at least one self-proclaimed DOOM expert.

The CS383 DOOM Scenario appeals to active, global, and sensing learners. Active learners enjoy making choices and seeing and often reacting to the results of their choices. Furthermore, they enjoy the cooperative learning environment where they can actively participate in group discussions. Global learners enjoy learning from the larger perspective where the student must understand how the computer components relate and fit together. Since most of the DOOM questions require the synthesis of multiple learning objectives, the DOOM student response system is an ideal learning component for global students to learn course information. Sensing learners like the real-time three-dimensional environment and the ability to interact with this virtual environment. Finally, regardless of learning style, DOOM adds excitement and variety to the class while requiring a healthy dose of study.

**VI. ADAPTIVE HYPERMEDIA BASED ON LEARNING STYLES**

The use of the aforementioned hypermedia tools addresses the needs of approximately 75% of the students. Anecdotal evidence based on student surveys over three semesters suggests that approximately 25% of the students remained confused and uncertain at the end of the semester as to how to effectively use the hypermedia material. As a result, an adaptive hypermedia interface was developed to address these students. Common Gateway Interface (CGI) forms were used during the first lesson to determine each student’s learning style. These forms were based on an assessment tool developed at North Carolina State University [23]. Students determine their learning style by answering a series of 28 questions. Based on the student’s responses, the CGI executable calculates each student’s individual learning style, stores this student profile as a file on the WWW server, and associates it with the user’s login. There are 720 different, potential learning styles that could be generated from the initial survey.

When the student logs in to begin a lesson, the student is given the option of exploring the course material according to their learning style or without their learning style. If the student chooses to use his or her learning style, a second CGI executable loads the student profile and calculates the effectiveness of the different course media using the heuristics described below. Based on these calculations, the CGI executable dynamically creates an HTML page containing an ordered list of the lesson media elements. These lesson media elements are presented to each student in a sorted list ranked from most to least conducive based on their effectiveness to each student’s individual learning style. The students sequentially click on the links to explore the course material according to their personal learning style.

The key to this approach is the determination of what type of media is appropriate for different learning styles. Each hypermedia course element supports one or more learning characteristics. As discussed above, hypermedia courseware addresses the needs of active and reflective learners. Furthermore, since Dr. Solomon did not measure inductive and deductive dimensions in her Inventory of Learning Styles [23], these learning characteristics are removed from consideration in the adaptive hypermedia interface. This leaves the sensing/intuitive, visual/verbal, and sequential/global learning characteristics as the basis for the adaptive hypermedia.

Certain media are inherently appropriate to different learning styles. For example, slideshows, graphics, and digital movies clearly appeal to visual learners while the course hypertext with its text-based, hierarchical, presentation of
VII. ASSESSMENT

The results from a series of informal assessments over the last two years confirm the benefits of addressing different learning styles outside the classroom. Using an end of course survey and a sample population of 100 cadets each semester for the two years, course students were asked which of the hypermedia components was the most conducive to their learning. The result: every semester, every hypermedia component was rated the absolute best by at least one student and every hypermedia component was rated the absolute worst by another. This corroborates the hypothesis that students learn differently. Students learn different ways through different learning styles. Enhancing student control and flexibility by providing a variety of hypermedia tools that address different learning styles thus enhances student learning.

Learning by using hypermedia is significantly different than learning in a traditional lecture-based course. Students have significantly greater informational resources and as a result, are able to be more active students. Instructors become much more of a facilitator of learning than “the master of all knowledge.” Using the end of course surveys, some students report that this shift in responsibility for learning is very unsettling. While the authors believe adaptive hypermedia will address the needs of these students, it remains unproven.

Finally, students appear to only use those hypermedia tools that are available in their rooms. Tools that require the student to use a lab or special equipment are seldom used and poorly rated on average.

Instructors teaching CS383 have noticed a dramatic change in the depth of student knowledge. Students appear to be learning more material at a deeper level. There has been no significant change in the cumulative GPA of the course despite significant changes in the difficulty of the course due to the department philosophy of assigning grades.

It appears that the best students benefit the most from hypermedia courseware and the worst students benefit the least. While the cumulative GPA of the course has remained relatively stable over the last three years, the performance of the best students has substantially increased each semester while the performance of the weakest students has decreased. While several approaches have been implemented to address the needs of these students [24], [25], a formal assessment of the results of this methodology has not been conducted.

It also appears that student requests for additional instruction outside the classroom have been significantly reduced by the hypermedia courseware. By providing a wide range of course informational resources online, students can often answer their questions without asking the instructor. This has been particularly noticeable in questions concerning graded assignments. Using the student response system and the course digital library, students have access to a wide range of submissions.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tools/Style</th>
<th>Sensing</th>
<th>Intuitive</th>
<th>Visual</th>
<th>Verbal</th>
<th>Sequential</th>
<th>Global</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hypertext</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slideshow</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Audio</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Graphics</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Movies</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>External Programs</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Student Response System</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Virtual Computer</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Course Legacy System</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 3. Media tools and their relationship to learning styles in CS383.
and questions used on previous course exams and as a result are much less likely to visit the instructor’s office or call him/her at home.

It should be noted that the time to develop the course has been substantial. The DOOM scenario required over 200 h of effort and the time to develop and maintain the complete hypermedia course is nearly 1500 h over the last three years. Although most of this work has been the result of a single professor and numerous student projects, the development has been incremental based on student comments and instructor insight. Having created the course materials, maintenance of the course requires approximately 100 h of work at the beginning of each semester as new questions are added to the student response system, the hypertext and slideshows are revised and recompiled, and new media components are added.

VIII. CONCLUSION

As illustrated in Fig. 2, every learning style may be addressed by hypermedia courseware and every learning style is addressed by more than one type of tool. Students have real options as to how and where they learn course material. With these tools, students are empowered to learn using their own unique learning style instead of being forced to learn according to the instructor’s view of the material.

Adaptive hypermedia based on student learning styles provides the ability to individually tailor the presentation of course material to each student. The underlying idea of adaptive hypermedia based on learning styles is quite simple: adapt the presentation of course material so that it is most conducive to the instructor’s view of the material. To a certain extent, each student is taking a different course based on what material is most effective for that student. This tailoring allows for efficient and effective student learning in the shortest possible period of time.

Combining these two approaches has the effect of removing from the student’s perspective, the impact of the instructor’s learning and teaching style and perhaps for the first time, allowing the student to see the material through the clear lenses of the student’s own perspective and learning style.
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