

US bio labs in Ukraine

18.03.2022

Politically explosive - scientifically irrelevant

Since the first public reports on this topic, we have again received a number of letters asking us to say something about it. At first, we saw no need and no point in raising the issue of biological weapons again, as we had actually already published a video explaining all the essential scientific and medical aspects. But when the obligatory rumours and theories started doing the rounds, which are always to be expected sooner or later in such cases, and it became clear with every new report that the whole thing may not be scientifically significant, but it is socially significant and is filled with a great deal of fear, we decided to address the topic of biological weapons once again.

To this end, we would of course like to refer here to our already mentioned video "On Current Occasion, No. 01: Bioweapons - the myth of artificial pathogens", which can be found in both a German and an English version on our video channels on Odysee, Gegenstimme and Bitchute. In this video we have already dealt with the basic idea of biological weapons and also explained why the modern ideas of artificial or modified pathogens from the laboratory cannot possibly work and therefore pose no real danger. In this article, we will therefore no longer deal with these points in detail, but go into a few scientific details that have not yet been mentioned and explained in our video, and also shed light on important social aspects. With that, everything has been said on the subject of biological weapons and artificial pathogens. All that remains are political or insignificant theoretical questions, which are not our topics.

A brief summary of the events

What exactly is this all about and what has happened so far? In order to be able to go into the details of this whole topic, we will first summarise all the political aspects of the events in this section, whereby, as we would like to emphasise at this point, we do not want to evaluate the political events, but simply explain what the situation is and the individual positions of the parties involved.

First of all, we would like to point out that in summarising the events we primarily refer to alternative media portals and independent journalists as sources. Most of our information comes from the German journalists Thomas Röper and Alina Lipp, as both have reported extensively on the issue and translated official documents, statements and declarations from Russian into German. Thomas Röper lives in St. Petersburg and runs the news website "Anti-Spiegel", Alina Lipp currently lives in the Donbass, from where she reports on current events in Ukraine on her Telegram channel "News from Russia". Since the Russian point of view is particularly important in this matter and we ourselves speak neither Russian nor Ukrainian, we are dependent on translations in this case.

Should anyone be bothered by our sources, for example because they see them as one-sided or have other sources that report something different, let us say once again that this statement is not about the political aspects and the question of who is right. We only want to briefly describe in this section what is being discussed and what kind of information has reached the public so far.

In fact, the whole issue of bioweapons research in Ukraine has a long history. Before we get to the current events of late, here are three important points about what happened before and what one should know:

1.) Russia is said to have been monitoring US biorepositories in Georgia and Ukraine for years and to have warned about them publicly time and again. In addition to a possible entry of Ukraine into NATO, which, as Russia repeatedly emphasised, was a red line that it would not accept, these bio-labs in particular were said to be regarded by Russia as a serious threat. The expansion and financing of these biolabs by the US Pentagon had not been kept secret or denied by the USA. However, the USA had always strictly refused an independent international control of the laboratories and at some point simply took the information on these laboratories, which were publicly accessible on the internet, off the net for unexplained reasons. Officially, the laboratories belonged to the Ukrainian health authorities and would have been used for research into the prevention of dangerous infectious diseases and "biohazards". But from the Russian side, the question is why the purely military US Pentagon is supporting health authorities in Ukraine and refusing independent control.

2) There has not only been war in Ukraine since February 2022. The Donbass (the easternmost part of Ukraine, where mainly the Russian part of the Ukrainian population lives) has already been shelled by the Ukrainian army for 8 years, which is said to have killed 13,000 to 15,000 people, a large part of them civilians, by February 2022. Western mainstream media always say that the Ukrainian army is fighting against "pro-Russian separatists", while local people and independent journalists speak of a war against the civilian population and an attempted genocide in which Ukrainian Nazis are trying to wipe out the Russian part of the Ukrainian population.

For this reason, we will also speak of a 'Russian military operation' in this article. This is not about downplaying anything or not using the word 'war', but about the fact that, no matter what one thinks about the whole thing, whether one condemns Putin, thinks the Russian intervention was right or is neutral about the matter, the fact is that Russia did not start a war, but intervened.

And 3) the Ukrainian government is said to have tried to equip drones from Turkey - with the help of which the Ukrainian army is said to have attacked fuel depots in Donetsk as early as September 2021 - with special containers that were to be used to spray aerosols. These containers are said to be so-called "aerosol dispensers", which are to be used exclusively for chemical and biological weapons, which is why their use for e.g. agricultural purposes can be ruled out in every respect.

So much for the back story, now to the current events.

At the beginning of March, Igor Konashenkov, a spokesman for the Russian Ministry of Defence, reportedly said that evidence had been found that the USA was operating various laboratories inside Ukraine where research into biological weapons was being carried out. Employees of the laboratories had leaked documents to the Russian ministry ordering the immediate destruction of highly dangerous "pathogens". These were said to be "pathogens" for cholera, anthrax, plague and tularaemia (an alleged bacterial disease in rodents that could also be transmitted to humans). After the launch of the Russian military operation, Kiev had tried to cover up the evidence of research into such bioweapons as quickly as possible, as this would put the USA and Ukraine in breach of Article 1 of the UN's "Biological Weapons Convention" (BWC). The Russian Ministry of Defence had published the documents with the order for destruction measures in the laboratories in Poltava and

Kharkov on the same day (can be seen in the linked article at the very bottom). On 7 March, the Russian Ministry of Defence announced in a press conference that there were more than 30 biological laboratories in Ukraine whose research had been carried out by the Threat Reduction Agency (DTRA) on behalf of the US Department of Defence. The work in these laboratories included, among other things, "research into potential region-specific biological warfare agents that have natural foci and can be transmitted to humans." It goes on to say that "the activities of the biological laboratories, whose activities we have been observing since 2014, [...] have led to an uncontrollable increase in the incidence of particularly dangerous and economically significant infections. The number of rubella, diphtheria and tuberculosis cases has increased in Ukraine. The incidence of measles has increased more than a hundredfold." (Translation from Russian by Thomas Röper in the article "Russia publishes more details about US bioweapons research in Ukraine" of 07 March 2022). After the analysis of the Ukrainian documents from the laboratories, it would be clear to Russia that the research there must have been attempts to improve the pathogenic properties (i.e. the ability to cause a disease in an organism) of dangerous "pathogens". This is the only explanation for the tremendous haste with which the Ukrainian Ministry of Health wanted to destroy the "pathogenic strains" in the laboratories shortly after the start of the Russian military operation.

The Russian Ministry of Defence continued its investigation into the matter and soon more details were released. It was announced that there had been a project called UP-4, scheduled for 2020, to investigate how well migratory birds (flying through Russia) could be used to spread particularly dangerous pathogens. The focus is said to have been on the alleged H5N1 virus (the notorious "bird flu"), which is said to be particularly pathogenic and to have a very high mortality rate in humans. One of the laboratories allegedly involved in this project is said to be in Kiev.

Russia described this project as probably the most inhumane and irresponsible thing the United States had ever come up with in this regard. If only because there was no way to control the epidemiological situation created.

Another very similar project called R-781 is said to have studied bats for the same purpose. Here, experiments were conducted with coronaviruses, among other things, to see how well they could be spread with the help of bats. What was striking was not only the obvious connection to the Corona crisis, but also the fact that this research was carried out close to the Russian border. The USA is also said to have been conducting such research on coronaviruses in Georgia and Wuhan in China for years, which again represents a suspicious connection to the Corona crisis and gives new impetus to the Wuhan virus theory. Documents on a project called UP-8, which included research on the alleged hantavirus, are also said to prove that not only Ukrainian but also American scientists were directly involved in the research.

There are said to have been a number of other suspicious projects. In some of them, hundreds of blood serum samples from Slavic people were sent abroad under the pretext of determining antibody titres. Among them was Germany.

Russian Deputy Foreign Minister Sergei Ryabkov is reported to have said in conclusion that the laboratories in Ukraine are only a small fraction of the more than 300 worldwide laboratories with US involvement, for which new documents are to be submitted soon.

In summary, it can be said that, according to the Russian Ministry of Defence, it was very likely that the USA and its allies wanted to breed genetically modified pathogens in the Ukrainian bio labs that would have been dangerous only for certain ethnic population groups and that they wanted to spread not only in the Russian part of Ukraine but also in Russia itself. The USA would have tried to develop a pathogen that would only be dangerous for Russians. And according to all the information so far, it would seem that the Ukrainian government was planning to carry out an attack on the Donbass and perhaps even Russia itself very soon, either with biological weapons from Ukrainian laboratories or with chemical weapons.

On 8 March, US diplomat and Undersecretary of State Victoria "Fuck the EU" Nuland admitted at a hearing before the US Senate that there were indeed bio-labs in Ukraine where biosecurity research was being conducted. Interestingly, she was asked at the hearing whether Ukraine had chemical and biological weapons, to which she did not answer in the negative, but evasively replied that there were "biological research facilities" and then immediately noted that they were very concerned that the laboratories, databases and "research materials" could fall into the hands of the Russians, which is why they were working closely with Ukraine to prevent this. Asked in an already biased manner whether she thought the Russians, who were already busy spreading propaganda, could be behind a potential biological weapons attack in Ukraine, Ms Nuland replied that there was no question in her mind that in the event of a biological or chemical weapons attack, only the Russians could be responsible. "There is no doubt in my mind, Senator, and it is a classic Russian modus operandi to blame the other for something they themselves are planning to do," Nuland said at the hearing before the US Senate. The hearing and Nuland's statements made such waves that even the mainstream media could not avoid reporting on it.

On 11 March, Reuters reported that the WHO had recommended that Kiev destroy its "highly dangerous pathogens" so that a possible destruction of the biolabs during the war would not lead to a release of "pathogens" and an outbreak of dangerous diseases. This report confirmed for many the Russian view of the whole affair, and those who had been critical of the Americans' statements in the first place now saw this report as final confirmation that the US had lied and had indeed been researching biological weapons in Ukraine. First, the USA does not allow an audit of its bio labs in Ukraine (which in itself violates the BWC), then the US Pentagon takes its "factsheets" on the bio labs in Ukraine off the net without justification, then the evasive answer of Ms Nuland before the US Senate and now the WHO's demand that Kiev destroy its "highly dangerous pathogens". Who can deny that this seems highly suspicious?

On the same day, the UN Security Council is said to have held an emergency meeting at Russia's request to discuss Russian allegations that the US had been running bioweapons labs in Ukraine.

Two more things should be noted at this point. First, critics of the US sometimes claim that the US actively denied that there were "dangerous pathogens" in the bio labs in Ukraine. We could not confirm this in our research. The bioweapons aspect is dismissed, but we have not read or heard anywhere that the work on "dangerous pathogens" was denied per se. This

seems to us to be more a conclusion from the points described in the previous paragraph, but we also cannot exclude the possibility that we may have overlooked the relevant statement from the US somewhere.

On the other hand, some people in the USA are very critical of Nuland's statements and the seemingly hypocritical behaviour of the US government. For example, the American broadcaster FOX News commented: "Nuland was one of the people who got us into the Iraq war, who never apologised for it and was constantly promoted, because that's how [Washington] DC works. Victoria Nuland is now Joe Biden's undersecretary of state and responsible for Ukraine. And she knows Ukraine very well. [...] Victoria Nuland has now confirmed under oath in a public committee hearing that the Russian disinformation, about which we have been told for days that it is all lies, conspiracy theories, madness, indeed it is immoral to even think of such a thing, is in fact true in every respect. Wow! They don't hear things like that every day in Washington." So, in any case, the whole affair (as well as the whole war in Ukraine itself) should not be seen as a struggle between two or more countries whose populations would each unitedly hold a certain opinion! Even within all countries there are divided opinions. One should therefore always distinguish between the government (with all its possible backers and its economic-military interests) and the population of a country! These two relatively rarely have much to do with each other.

Meanwhile, some people are already asking themselves whether these US bio labs and a possibly prepared attack with biological or chemical weapons on the Russian population in the Donbass might have been the decisive reason that Russia felt compelled to become militarily active in Ukraine.

This is the information and political background we have on this topic at the present time (15.03.2022) and which we have researched.

Let us now go through the whole thing from a scientific point of view, one after the other, always keeping in mind: the whole affair is far less about what was actually researched in these laboratories, which side will ultimately be proved right, or even whether there is any real danger in relation to such biolabs - there isn't - but it is about what those responsible from Russia and the USA believe and what they are afraid of. Fear is the crucial thing in this matter and what is really dangerous, because fear not only leads to irrational behaviour, it can also quickly turn into aggression and make people ill in the long run. Therefore, people's fear should be taken seriously and respected. Whether fear seems justified or irrational, it is real for the person concerned.

#### Biolabs in general

Biolabs exist all over the world, and the USA is by no means the only country that operates some. Such facilities by no means automatically have anything to do with biological weapons "research" - which would also be illegal for the vast majority of countries due to the BWC mentioned earlier - but are officially used to conduct a kind of "health research" and "prevention" to protect the population from supposed pathogens of all kinds. For this purpose, all kinds of "pathogens" (or whatever is considered to be pathogens) are officially collected and purchased for such laboratories in order to be able to "research"

them. Among other things, they want to investigate how likely it is that a supposed pathogen could trigger an epidemic or pandemic; they want to be able to predict new "infectious diseases"; they want to know how "pathogens" overcome a "species barrier", etc. The actual "research" then consists, as so often, of fiddling with cell cultures, torturing animals and searching for some protein to which a certain role is ascribed within the framework of the virus theory, without ever having properly verified this.

Probably most people imagine research in such bio-labs to be much more complex and complicated than it actually is. And also many "results" of such research sound far more fascinating and real than they actually are. Something is mixed together or extracted and then injected or otherwise introduced into an individual or a cell culture, then one measures certain values in the blood, tries to "prove" the presence of certain "genetic" components with PCR (which is a fallacy) or looks purely externally at some processes in an artificial cell culture under the microscope. Then one draws one's conclusions from this, without first carrying out clean controls, and then announces that one has succeeded in, for example would be to equip a mouse with a human "immune system", which is called "humanising", and one could now transfer the observed physical processes in the mouse to humans. Sounds absurd, and it is.

Another thing that is being worked on in such bio labs, and which has often caused controversy, is the attempt to "genetically" modify alleged pathogens on one's own in order to accelerate the supposedly natural processes of "mutation" and to be able to better observe and understand them. "Pathogens" are supposed to be made more infectious, pathogenic or even modified so that they are specialised for the human organism and its "immune system". This type of research, which is believed to artificially create or accelerate supposed mutations and make "pathogens" more dangerous by means of genetic modification, is called gain-of-function research (GoF). And, of course, this also involves the production of vaccines. With the help of GoF research, "better" vaccines are to be developed, vaccines are to be developed more quickly, and preventive vaccines are to be developed at the same time, so that mankind would already have an advantage over natural "pathogens" in the biological arms race.

Allegedly, with the help of GoF research, a number of advances have already been made over the years and certain types of pathogens have been successfully modified or new strains and mutants created. These include modified influenza and coronaviruses. All these claims are irrelevant and based on the same superficial eyeballing and misinterpretations as the virus evidence itself.

In Germany (and probably in all countries that operate such laboratories), there is a whole series of legally prescribed safety precautions that must be fulfilled in order to be allowed to work with supposed "pathogens". Depending on what is being handled and what is being worked on, laboratories must meet requirements of a defined safety level, whereby there are a total of 4 such safety levels and 4 is the highest level.

These safety precautions include labels for hazardous materials, specific disinfection procedures, protective clothing, access restrictions, security gates, emergency power supply, incinerators for animal carcasses and much more. Clearly, when working with what is generally considered to be a dangerous, contagious "pathogen", the highest safety precautions must be taken to ensure that no "pathogens" could escape unintentionally. One version of the Wuhan virus theory is precisely that the SARS-CoV-2 was the result of such

gain-of-function research, which then leaked out through some leak or sloppiness in a Chinese bio-lab.

Now comes the most important question regarding biolabs:

Does gain-of-function research or anything done in these labs regarding "pathogens" work? No. Just as genetic research has never been able to present anything useful, gain-of-function and all other ideas of preventive "health research" from the field of orthodox medicine have never been able to achieve actual success.

As late as the year 2000, it was firmly assumed that with the help of genetic research, many if not all known diseases of mankind would have been finally conquered within a few years. But nothing happened. And even today one reads and hears from time to time about supposedly unbelievable progress in this or that area and the associated revolutionary medical possibilities that will soon be available to us all. In the end, however, nothing ever comes of it.

Regarding biological research in the laboratory, it must be said that it generally has little or nothing to do with reality. You cannot study living nature, which is in a cycle and in constant change, in a sterile, dead laboratory. Just as you cannot study the natural behaviour of animals in an unnatural zoo. What happens in the test tube must have absolutely nothing to do with reality.

Moreover, the researchers who work specifically in such laboratories always have a certain fixed idea in mind that they never question, and they evaluate everything they observe in their test tubes and under the microscope exclusively within the framework of this idea - just like virologists do when they supposedly detect a virus. This automatically blinds them to all the contradictions that inevitably arise in their "research" due to biological reality. Of course, one can invest a lot of time and money to investigate the question of why some individuals, under the same external conditions, fall ill less often than others. Do they possibly have a certain natural resistance in their body that should be isolated and extracted in order to produce a better vaccine? Or is this phenomenon rather considered as a possible indication that the basic idea of contagion as imagined (but never proven) may not work at all. Just as one created the alleged "asymptomatic course" from the fact that even perfectly healthy people tested positive for corona in rows, instead of seeing it for what it actually is: a clear indication that the PCR test simply does not work.

Bioweapons laboratories and artificial pathogens

Gain-of-function research is repeatedly criticised despite its propagated "noble" intentions. On the one hand, because it is argued that artificially improving or rather aggravating "pathogens" always poses a high risk to the general public, despite all safety precautions. A mistake in the safety system, human error or something unforeseen leading to a leak in the lab, and countless human lives would, according to the theory, be at risk. It's a fear one can certainly understand when viewed in the context of the prevailing pathogen theory. But the greatest fear is that some madman, some unscrupulous government or some ideologically blinded group could exploit this kind of research for sinister purposes to create the perfect killer microbe that could depopulate the entire world. This may sound exaggerated, but in the end this is exactly the fear behind it. And again, it can be said that this fear is understandable within the framework of the pathogen theory.

This means that even if the USA were able to defend its actions and prove that research on supposedly "dangerous pathogens" in the bio labs in Ukraine was only carried out in the context of "health research", this research could still only be described as politically irresponsible and stupid, because anyone could have calculated that any form of GoF research (especially by the USA) near Russian borders would be seen as a threat by the Russian side.

On the subject of biological weapons, there is one more important thing to say from a social point of view. Although biological weapons are also weapons of mass destruction and always involve mainly civilian casualties, there are very big differences between (the theoretical idea of) biological weapons and nuclear weapons.

In the case of nuclear weapons, the main purpose has for many years not been use, but possession and the associated threat of use. Biological weapons, however, if they actually worked, would certainly be used.

You send a new "plague" into the country, let the "pathogen" kill thousands and thousands of people, easily deny the use of biological weapons and claim that it was either the appearance of a natural "pathogen" or an attack by some enemy country, whereby you naturally dismiss any form of criticism and contrary opinion as a nonsensical conspiracy theory. Then, in front of one's own press, one feigns deepest consternation for "the poor people over there" and can perhaps take the opportunity to sell vaccines for one's own population as a preventive measure. That would be the theoretical use, and one can only speak of great luck for all of us that the whole concept of "pathogen as weapon" does not work.

Even though nuclear weapons can cause a terrible catastrophe, the idea of using biological weapons is many times worse for most people, and above all more perfidious, because the idea of pathogens is always associated with long suffering and a slow, agonising death. Therefore, unlike with nuclear weapons, governments would never admit that they had biological weapons themselves or were even researching them. Only the enemy would do something so inhumane. Exactly this dispute and mutual accusation can now be observed between the USA (or actually the entire West) and Russia. And if the Russian accusations that Ukraine had been researching biological weapons with the help of the USA and had possibly already planned their use were confirmed, one could certainly understand that this was perceived as a serious threat and aggression on the Russian side. As long as the whole world is convinced of the pathogen concept, the fear associated with it must be respected and taken seriously.

A real physical use of artificial pathogens is definitely out of the question because the whole scientific basis on which the idea is based is wrong. What always works very effectively, however, is the use of fear, i.e. psychological warfare, and this has been used successfully for years. One simply claims that someone else has biological weapons at their disposal, which they would also immediately use against all of us without conscience, and in this way legitimises an attack on another country, for example. This is what happened with the US attack on Iraq in 2003, when it was claimed that the then dictator Saddam Hussein had weapons of mass destruction at his disposal (although it was more a matter of chemical than biological weapons), which subsequently turned out to be a "mistake". The alleged anthrax

attack shortly after the 9/11 attacks also falls into this category. An incident that is always presented as something very real and supposedly proven, but on closer examination there are only claims by some "experts", secret service agents or other questionable persons, but no solid, scientific facts that would stand up to scrutiny. And, of course, it is also very easy to portray an apparent epidemic or pandemic outbreak as a supposed bioweapons attack or accident. In this way, one can defame another country's government and portray certain individuals as monsters who contradict our "western values", our own do-gooderism and our flagship democracy in every possible way.

We are now seeing something like this in the case of Corona, although here it is actually more the critics' scene than any government that is clinging with all its might to the Wuhan Biolab gain-of-function virus theory in order to use it to legitimise its war cry and its fight against 'those up there'. The alleged 'wave' with the 'delta variant' in 2021 was also claimed by some critics to be in fact a disguised bioweapons attack. The first "Corona waves" were in fact only a natural flu, but the "Delta variant" was now a really dangerous virus that came from a bioweapons laboratory and with which "they" were pursuing a very perfidious plan. With this bioweapon, all the deaths and all the overcrowded hospitals would be generated that "they" never got at Corona until now but actually wanted to have. And this bioweapon would certainly also be used in a very targeted way at demonstrations in order to finally make them credible as a super-spreader event and thus finally smash the critics' scene. A claim that had not a bit more substance than any statements by the new German health minister Karl Lauterbach, but the entire criticism scene works on so evidence-based. But let's be fair, Bill Gates is also using the fear of an alleged bioweapons attack and an accompanying "much worse pandemic" to publicly justify his global vaccination strategy and keep people stuck in the new normal of exceptionalism.

So the best use of bioweapons, or rather the idea of them, is to create fear, as can be seen abundantly clearly at the present time. It can be used to create unrest, generate images of the enemy, make the previously immoral suddenly justifiable and justify all kinds of state harassment or warlike behaviour.

Viruses have never been proven and, just like the ideas of "cancer genes" and the "immune system", are ultimately nothing more than a result of the inability to explain diseases in a credible, logical and comprehensible way without relying on the idea of a material pathogen, an enemy in the body. Or to put it another way, since at some point in the history of medicine countless diseases could no longer be blamed on bacteria, the cause had to be a virus. A structure that is much smaller than bacteria and that hides in the cells of the body, which to some extent still justifies the fact that viruses cannot be found.

Bacteria and certain fungi, on the other hand, are organisms that can be involved in bodily processes that are sometimes perceived as terrible, painful ailments and that in some cases can even lead to the death of the organism. However, this does not make them enemies. They do not first enter the body from the outside, but are present in the body all the time (just not in such large numbers in the normal state), and what they partly do in the body is simply misinterpreted and, due to any pain, swelling and other discomfort, is summarily perceived as something evil that needs to be fought.

Artificial pathogens cannot be produced for the simple reason that viruses are still only a phantom and bacteria do not function as conventional medicine imagines. Just as you could not make a fawn become a carnivorous wolf, you cannot make bacteria that are not

pathogens become pathogens. And how do I create an artificial killer virus when I can't even find a natural one?

And especially the idea of pathogens that could be genetically programmed to be dangerous only to a certain ethnic group is so inhumane in idea alone that one can only call someone who pursues this idea completely insane (here it is the intention that counts), but from a scientific-medical point of view, it is and remains complete nonsense. This is not science fiction, this is fantasy without any claim to reality.

But what about patents for bioweapons and genetically modified pathogens?

Patents have no scientific value. It may well be that there are patents on some "super anthrax", "genetically modified smallpox virus", an artificial "cholera 2.0" or other crackpot ideas. A patent does not mean that something already exists and actually works. It is first and foremost an idea that is protected. A patent office will hardly check whether this idea is completely absurd from a scientific and medical point of view.

Suspicious accumulation of diseases

How can the accumulation of suspicious cases of disease in Ukraine be explained without a "pathogen"?

With the war! That is why it is so important to know and understand that, among other things, the war in Ukraine has not only been going on since the end of February, but has been going on since 2014! And according to the knowledge system of "new medicine" or "universal biology", which describes a complex form of psychosomatics, the occurring diseases in Ukraine are even quite easy to explain.

In our video on the subject of bioweapons, Dr Lanka has already explained, using Israel as an example, how a highly stressful permanent state in a society, caused by constant violent conflicts and permanent fear, can massively endanger public health.

According to statements by the Russian Ministry of Defence, there have been suspiciously frequent cases of rubella, measles, diphtheria and tuberculosis in Ukraine since 2014. In some respects, this statement is entirely credible, but the increased incidence of certain ailments is not proof that something has escaped from some laboratory or that the Ukrainian population has been experimented on with bioweapons. Presumably, one would even find a number of other increased complaints in the population (e.g. various types of "cancer"), which, however, do not fit the theory of infectious diseases and are therefore ignored.

The fact that there has been an accumulation of certain physical complaints in Ukraine for years, we therefore consider to be credible, it is the orthodox medical definitions of the occurring complaints that we disagree with. One has to look at the individual symptoms in order to be able to make a real statement about the processes in the body of the respective person.

We will not go into the symptoms, their origin and the exact physical processes here, as we would have to go much too deeply into the whole subject of New Medicine and psychosomatics. We will do that in separate articles. Here we will primarily deal with the content of conflicts and perceived fears and distresses that can ultimately lead to physical complaints.

Rubella and measles have the same cause and basically the same symptoms and can therefore be summarised as one thing. They are merely made into two separate "diseases" by conventional medicine by definition. It is about being separated from another person and direct skin contact. Either you lose a contact you want to have or you resist a contact and want to get away from someone. So losing a desired contact is about being abandoned, losing a loved one or an animal, or figuratively, not being able to feel something (a danger) (in time). Unwanted contact is about someone "getting on your nerves" or you want to ward someone off and keep them away from you. Depending on the case, other parts of the body and places are affected.

Apparently "epidemic-like" outbreaks of measles occur due to collective conflicts that are felt the same way by everyone involved (quite typical, for example, with siblings in a family or children in a school class). Due to the "individualisation", lack of communities and basically loneliness of individuals in a modern society, collective conflict situations occur less and less often. This may sound positive at first, but it is not, because on the one hand, the lack of a sense of community and social behaviour brings other problems, and on the other hand, the isolated occurrence of measles has by and large remained unchanged. In crisis and war zones, on the other hand, such collective conflict situations are quite typical, especially among the civilian population, which is largely helpless in the face of the events. And how it comes to severe separation conflicts, for example when a family member is killed by an attack, or the father is drafted and thus torn away from the family, is certainly comprehensible to everyone.

Names like diphtheria, on the other hand, are not to be used at all, because this disease definition, like COVID, influenza and a whole series of other diseases, is also a meaningless conglomeration of different symptoms in different tissues. This symptom complex also exists as an independent, coherent disease only on paper. In reality, all the symptoms that occur in each person must be considered individually in order to be able to make a useful diagnosis. And in many cases this will show that the person in question is suffering not only from one but from several conflicts.

Diphtheria is associated with inflammation of the larynx, throat, nose and tonsils, as well as swelling of the lymph nodes. Considered individually, all these symptoms paint a clear picture and can also be easily linked to the conditions in Ukraine since 2014, which explains the frequent occurrence of the symptoms among the population. Here we will take a look at two examples that show what is actually behind certain symptoms that are associated with diphtheria.

In the case of the larynx, it is about fear of fright, speechlessness or territorial fear, whereby fear of fright represents the female-passive reaction and territorial fear the male-aggressive reaction. Sudden, acute danger or thunderous noise (impacting bombs or artillery fire, which people in the Donbass have been confronted with almost every day since 2014); fear of losing one's territory, which can be one's home or workplace, but also one's personal rank or even one's partner (fear that one's partner will no longer come home in the evening because he or she could be killed by an attack, for example). (Fear that the partner will not come home in the evening because he might be killed by an attack, for example, or because he will be drafted and forced to fight against his will); not being allowed to speak, not being

allowed to speak, being prevented from voicing one's displeasure (they want to unify the whole of Ukraine and have Russian banned as a language).

The throat is about separation, in the sense of not wanting to swallow something and wanting to spit it out. This can be understood literally, for example, when children are forced to eat something they don't like, or in a figurative sense - "you have to swallow something", "swallow your anger" etc. (in the languages of the world there are very many physical connections that are no longer at all clear to us nowadays) - when children are forced and pressured at school, for example, to learn material that doesn't interest them. So-called separation conflict can be about hostility, accusations, threats and insults against which one cannot defend oneself, or at least not properly (this is now also what many Russian people in Western countries are confronted with, who are suddenly exposed to a despicable Russophobia).

Frequent occurrence of tuberculosis (TB) is a typical crisis and war phenomenon that could be observed en masse in Germany, among other places, after the Second World War. It is not at all a matter of a weakened immune system and aggressive pathogens, but of a long-lasting fear of death.

Fear of death is a very special programme of nature, intended for a very short period of time and only for absolute emergency situations, in which physical peak performance is required to overcome an actual physical danger. So flight or defence. In nature, fear of death only lasts for a few seconds on average, whereas in the denatured world of civilised man, states of fear can even be maintained for years, and in the vast majority of cases the dangers are not even of a real, physical nature. In our cultures, the most common triggers for long-lasting fear of death, which can lead to illness and even death over time, are (false) diagnoses of illness and scaremongering about supposed pathogens and infections. People who are diagnosed with "cancer" or "AIDS", for example, which for many is tantamount to a death sentence, live from then on in constant fear of the evil in their own bodies that they cannot escape. And the Corona crisis has impressively shown how susceptible people are to nonsensical scaremongering and fear of the invisible enemy. The evil killer virus lurks everywhere and can infiltrate you and make you deathly ill at any time. If you are trapped in this narrative, you cannot possibly continue to live healthily in the long run.

It is precisely because prolonged fear of death can lead not only to irrational behaviour and aggression but also to serious physical ailments, even death, that the scaremongering of politicians and the media is so outrageous and irresponsible. Even the Chinese ophthalmologist Li Wenliang, the "Corona whistleblower" from China, has sometimes perished from his own fear of the supposed new killer virus. This is why both Dr Lanka and we keep emphasising how dangerous it is to scare other people, and why we so sharply criticise not only politics but also the critics' scene with their irresponsible theories, rumours and claims of genocide through vaccination, the immune system running amok through gene injection, shedding and other nonsense.

The fear of death in crisis and war zones, on the other hand, is much more real, although every organism also has certain protective mechanisms that help it to cope better with persistent extreme situations. For example, a certain "dulling" to the noise of war (gunshots and explosions) or the sight of death and destruction is quite normal and even important for survival.

Tuberculosis can occur in war zones as a collective "one-off" phenomenon or as frequent, temporally independent individual cases. For example, when the war is officially declared over and all the people affected collectively lose their fear of death, they all go into "solution" relatively simultaneously, i.e. the body lifts the permanent state of alarm and rebuilds the functionally strengthened lungs to normal operation, which then gives rise to the symptoms that orthodox medicine calls tuberculosis. If the state of war or crisis and the uncertain, unsettled time remain, it depends on the individual fates of the people whether they go into solution.

**IMPORTANT NOTICE!** Especially people who have not yet heard of the New Medicine will certainly have countless questions after this section and many things will not yet make sense to them. We will deal with the New Medicine/Universal Biology in detail in later articles and explain the whole system in detail. For those who do not want to wait that long and would like to inform themselves about the subject in advance, we recommend the book "Universal Biology - a way of life" by Giuliana Lüssi, which is available through any bookstore and is a very good introduction to the subject.

To prevent a flood of emails: we are not doctors or alternative practitioners, we may NOT and will NOT give medical advice (this includes recommending therapists). We will not make personal diagnoses or write individual articles on medical wish topics! We will only answer questions about our project via our contact details. We ask for your understanding, but we do not have the time and capacity to answer individual questions in detail.

## Conclusion

One can definitely argue about all political aspects in this matter, that is beyond question. And who will ultimately prove what intention and activity to whom remains to be seen. Above all, two things are important:

1.) no matter what is being researched in any bio labs and what theoretical atrocities anyone is planning with artificial or modified pathogens, fortunately absolutely none of it will ever work. Chemical weapons are another matter altogether, but at least as far as biological weapons are concerned, everyone can rest easy.

2) what makes the issue relevant on a societal level is the fears associated with it.

Convincing people that some enemy could or would like to use biological agents against them can lead to fear of death, hatred, despair and worse aggression. If those in positions of responsibility really wanted to protect the population in earnest, such action as we see on the part of politicians or oligarchs like Bill Gates could only be considered counterproductive and irresponsible, even within the framework of the pathogen theory.

And a government that discovers or even has a reasonable suspicion that someone in its bio labs is researching allegedly artificial pathogens that it wants to use as a weapon may well feel compelled to take military preventive action. Or else one simply uses such an accusation and alleged suspicion as a pretext for an attack. Of course, this is also possible at any time, and the only thing to do then is to hope that not the worst (real) weapon systems come into use.

The matter once again makes it abundantly clear how important the virus evidence issue and a paradigm shift in medicine are. How much fear, aggression, abuse of power, greed, political nonsense and social harassment would automatically fall away if we could finally

transform the warlike good-evil thinking and the mechanical medical system into a real biology and a human medicine?

The worst thing that can happen to us if we continue as we are is our own demise. And it doesn't even have to come through war. Modern civilisation has already distanced itself so far from nature and, with gender ideology, for example, is falling more and more into complete absurdity on a socio-political level, so that natural consciousness, feeling and action will soon hardly be possible. And this is the best breeding ground for all kinds of diseases that certainly cannot be treated with toxic substances such as vaccines, antibiotics or chemotherapy!

The "worst" thing that can happen when we leave behind our mechanical, dead view of the world is the small effort of discarding something old and learning something new in return (if anyone seriously perceives that as negative) and that there is no need for vaccines, no WHO and no pharmaceutical industry any more (if anyone seriously perceives that as negative).

Your Project Immanuel team